STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:

PUBLIC MAP INPUT SESSION

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2021 9:30 a.m.

Reported By:

Jennifer Barton



APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS

Sara Sadhwani, Chair
Antonio Le Mons, Vice Chair
Isra Ahmad, Commissioner
Linda Akutagawa, Vice Chair
Alicia Fernandez, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
J. Kennedy, Commissioner
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner
Derric Tayor, Commissioner
Trena Turner, Chair
Angela Vazquez, Commissioner
Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF

Fredy Ceja, Communications Director Ravindar Singh, Administrative Assistant

TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator Katy Manoff, Comment Moderator

LINE DRAWING TEAM (Developed March 2021)

Jaime Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC Karin MacDonald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC Kennedy Wilson, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Also Present

Public Comment

Bill Teter
Brian Hollo

Brian Holloway

Allison Lafferty

Ken Vogel

Speaker 5

Marisa Moraza, Power California

Steven Ochoa, National Redistricting Coordinator, MALDEF

Mayra Valadez, Western National Redistricting

Coordinator, MALDEF

Kathy Ramirez, Western National Redistricting

Coordinator, MALDEF

Paul Yoder of Yoder, Antiwh, Schmelzer & Lange

Chuck Wynne, San Joaquin County Supervisor

James Woodson, Black Census & Redistricting Hub

Kevin Cosney, Black Census & Redistricting Hub

Myrna Castrejon, CEO, California Charter Schools

3
Association
Jeremy Payne, Assistant Program Director Equality
California
Joyce Kaufman, Director Women, Peace, and Security
Chris Bubser, Town Council Member, Mammoth Lakes
N.

4

INDEX

	PAGE
Call to Order and Roll Call	4
Introduction to Meeting	5
Public Comment	8
Commission Discussion	161
Closina	173

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 9:30 a.m. CHAIR SADHWANI: Welcome to the California Citizens 3 4 Redistricting Commission as we continue to receive map 5 input from the public. My name is Sara Sadhwani. be your chair for today, along with my colleague Antonio 6 7 Le Mons, who will serve as vice chair. 8 Let's begin with roll call, Ravi. 9 MR. SINGH: Thank you, Chair. 10 Commissioner Sinay? 11 Here. COMMISSIONER SINAY: 12 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Taylor? 13 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I am present. 14 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Toledo? 15 Commissioner Turner. 16 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Here. 17 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Vazquez? 18 COMMISSIOENR VAZQUEZ: 19 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Yee? 20 COMMISSIONER YEE: Here. 21 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Ahmad? 22 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here. 2.3 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Akutagawa? COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: 24 Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Andersen?

25

1 Commissioner Fernandez? 2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente. MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fornaciari? 3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: 4 Here. 5 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Kennedy? COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here. 6 7 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons? VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Here. 9 MR. SINGH: And Commissioner Sadhwani? 10 CHAIR SADHWANI: Here. 11 MR. SINGH: Roll call is complete, Chair. 12 CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you, Ravi. Before we get 13 started, I'll just say a few words first about the 14 background on the Commission. Every ten years after the 15 federal government publishes updated census information, 16 California must redraw the boundaries of its 17 Congressional, State Senate, State Assembly, and State 18 Board of Equalization districts so that the districts 19 correctly reflect the state's population. 2.0 The fourteen-member Commission is made up of five 21 Republicans, five Democrats, and four not affiliated with 22 either of these two parties. The Commission must draw 23 the district lines in conformity with strict, nonpartisan 24 rules designed to create districts of relatively equal 25 population that will provide fair representation for all

Californians. For more information, visit our website at wedrawthelinesca.org.

2.0

2.3

Just to provide a little bit of background and overview on these public map input sessions. We began these meetings yesterday on October 21st, and we are continuing today. We'll also meet tomorrow, October 23rd from 930 to 4:30 p.m.

What's being presented are public plans. These are presentations of multidistrict plans by the public who are -- who have an opportunity to showcase their ideas, potential solutions, and specific district boundaries.

Some of these plans might even resemble visualizations such as those that have been created by the Commission as they'll only be partial plans covering part of the state, while others may cover the entire state and might resemble more full draft plans.

We'll start off each day with appointments for presentations and then end the day with feedback to the line drawing team. On Saturday, we'll open for public comment at the end of the meeting.

Participation in the -- in these meetings is not the only way to submit public district maps to the Commission. The statewide database has created a draw my California districts tool. It's an online tool that people can use to create district maps. There's also a

free to use plug in for the open-source GIS platform, QGIS, where you can submit maps directly to the Commission. To learn more about these tools, visit drawmyCalifornia.org. Again, drawmyCalifornia.org.

2.3

A few housekeeping rules for today. We want to remind the public who have appointments to present to please join about fifteen minutes in advance of your presentation. Certainly we saw last time, some people don't take all of their time, so we might -- we might get to you sooner rather than later.

We will be sticking to designated times for presentations and the commission will be enforcing those designated time limits and will provide a one-minute warning and thirty seconds remaining.

Throughout the day, we'll be seeing a variety of different kinds of submissions. Small submit submissions have one to three district maps. Those are six-minute presentations. Medium submissions have four or more district maps, but not a statewide map. Those are limited to fifteen-minute presentations. Large submissions include one full statewide map and those are thirty-minute presentations.

And finally, there are extra-large submissions which offer more than one statewide map. Those are forty-five-minute presentations. The Commission has enabled screen

sharing for presenters. So please have your maps handy to enable screen sharing at the beginning of your presentation. Your timer will start after your screen has been shared.

2.3

The Commission also chose not to ask questions of presenters, so there will be -- there will not be any follow up by commissioners after the presentations.

With that, I'll turn it over to Katy, our fabulous comment moderator to kick us off for the day.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you. Good morning. Welcome to the public map input session. When it is your turn to speak, you will be identified by your assigned unique ID number. You will be reconnected to the session with the ability to enable your own video and/or audio and to enable screen sharing. Please have your maps handy prior to your appointment start time in order to enable screen sharing.

The Commission will be enforcing appointment time limit with a warning at one minute and a warning at thirty seconds remaining. At the end of your public input or at the end of your time, you will be reconnected in a listen and view only mode.

Right now we will have PMI 017. I will be promoting you now. PMI 017, you can now enable your audio and video in the lower left corner of your screen. And

screen sharing is in the bottom center. If you will, please share your maps. Perfect. And we do see your presentation there. The floor is yours.

2.3

MR. TETER: Yes. My name is Bill Teter. I live in Encinitas, northern San Diego County. And I want to go over a presentation. I noticed just the other day that what's posted with this presentation is not what I sent up.

We were told to send in a narrative which I saw subsequently changed, and that is available at public input 13318. And then the rest of it appears to be we were told to submit shape files. And what showed up is some red outlines that are virtually unreadable. They have no district maps.

What I'm going to present here, I have also uploaded I have some screenshots from the map tool. I used the QGIS tool and the print function from the CAdraw plug in, which provides much better maps. So this will be up there. I do not have a number yet but it will be under my name somewhere on public input.

So I originally looked at one problem. And that problem only is the congressional map and going from 53 to 52. So how do you do that? And I know there's a lot of input on visualizations and redrawing and communities of interest, but you're under the very much time crunch.

So my approach was look at something, look at the districts that are currently here because I believe the folks -- that your predecessors ten years ago did a pretty good job of dividing up the districts following basically the same rules you have and that those districts have become de facto communities of interest because people work together and those interested in those districts on what they're interested in.

2.0

2.3

So I wanted to look at what it would -- what would be the best approach to finding a district that we would drop. Now, I know that's not very palatable to the people in that district, but I think I can show you if you do it right, you have a very good argument for that being the least disruptive approach.

So what I did is I quickly looked at the map and looked for districts that are geographically compact, because if they're compact geographically, there's a better chance that the people in the surrounding districts would have the same kind of community interests from that area. And so there are several candidates.

Four I found. Two are in the north. One up by
District 7 up by Sacramento. And I've also looked at a
districts that we're surrounded by four -- at least four
other districts, because they would have to absorb that
population from that District if you eliminated that

1 District.

2.3

So seven is surrounded by four. Unfortunately, it is in the in the 17th in San Jose. Both have relatively positive population deviations and so do the surrounding districts. So there's no headroom, if you will, for those surrounding districts to absorb if you did away with one of those districts.

So I looked at two others that are in the Los

Angeles area, the 32nd and the 40th, both surrounded by

districts, other districts and all those districts

themselves and all the surrounding districts have a

negative population deviation, which means they're under

the standard population for what a district should be Iin

the new -- in the new distribution.

The 40th is also kind of unique, and it's actually touched by six other districts, all of which have a substantial negative deviation. So I kind of focused on the 40th and looked at what could you do to report to distribute the population, the 40th, in a very equitable way to the other surrounding districts to kind of bring them up to speed and hopefully have a common area since it's all the Los Angeles Central Valley area.

So this is a screenshot from the QGIS tool, and it's -- you can kind of see the districts. It doesn't do a lot of contrast -- very good contrast. But I've

highlighted some of the numbers so you can see that the
40th is the one -- the gray in the middle and is
surrounded by districts that have a fairly high negative
deviation or so.

Each one percent, of course, is about 7,600 people. So you can kind of get a feel for their ability to absorb the 40th and come up to the population they need to be.

Also, you'll see down here the 33rd District. The 33rd District is a coastal district. I used it because there's a finger for some reason a gropes back in touching the 34th District and the 37th District and is -- because it's negative, I could also use it to absorb some of the population.

So that's where I started. And after leading that the tool allows you to delete a district and then redistribute their census blocks. I used primarily geographic boundaries, the interstates, and other geographic measures to redistribute into the districts you see here. And this is the result.

Now, you can you know, this can be modified significantly depending on how you do it. But you can see that there's no districts that absorb population that is over ten percent positive over. The 44th is the largest is now over by 8.36 percent. Now, that would have to be addressed.

But the other districts are reasonable. You can see that like the 32nd, which I didn't touch -- I actually ended up only modifying nine districts, including the 40th. The 32nd is -6.89. So you could see if you went further, you could take three percent from here and move it there and two percent from here. And then the same thing with the 27th and the 28th.

So you could further modify this to get within at least a target range. Now, I don't know what the threshold is for acceptable deviation, if the board has set one. Obviously, five percent is probably more of a deviation than you want. So we'll have to continue to work on this.

And I started to do that. And I wanted to start using communities of interest overlays. But it turns out the way things are set up in a tool, a person of the public like myself, can only use overlays that you draw yourself. And I know there's a lot of overlays on communities of interest, but apparently they're not accessible to the general people of the public.

So what happens to the stats if you if you do this? So here is by congressional districts before and after for both deviation and percent Latino, because Latino representation is very high in this area. So the population deviation, you already saw. The highest one,

again being the 44th district, which goes to 8.36. So obviously you'd have to work on these a bit more to align them with whatever the threshold was.

2.3

Now, the 40th, besides being surrounded by six, it also had another feature that is it is that points to it being sort of redistributed. And that's it's percent Latino. It's eighty-seven percent. Now, I know you're familiar with the term packing and cracking. I don't know what the exact threshold is for a district being called packing, but I would imagine eighty-seven percent Latino is probably getting pretty close.

So even if you didn't want to break up the 40th to answer the question about dropping a district. You'd probably have to redistribute some of its population simply to avoid the packing issue for the 40th. So those are the stats. Later on the slides they show more stats and on the other districts itself.

So just a summary, what I thought the advantages and in no particular order here. First of all, I know you're going to face an issue with time and you need to converge on a set of maps and you need to be able to get those maps accepted.

And see since this is a simple numeric approach, it's purely based on numbers and geography. It's not based on any partisan concepts. I have no idea who

1 represents any of these districts is more likely, I 2 think, to be accepted by the people of California.

2.3

The small area, the 40th district means adjoining edges. The surrounding districts are more likely to hold common interests. They're in the valley, smog, traffic. I mean, those are going to be some of the common

interests of those surrounding districts.

The negative population of the 40th and the

surrounding districts mean that you can delete it and have the population readily absorbed. Now, there are still outliers, but it would continue to be worked. It eliminates a packed district. I don't know if that's a consideration.

It does create one majority Latino district. What didn't exist before, the 43rd. Now not by much, but if you continue to work on this, you could probably improve that and enhance Latino representation in six other districts.

It also simplifies remembering of the districts. I don't know if this is an issue, but I like to keep things simple. So for me, if I knew that, okay, I used to be in the in the 40th, but now I'm in the 41st or something, then that would be more acceptable to me as the people in California. First thing, they may be in a different district than they were before. And of course, if you

remember them so that they don't even know the number, this simply simplifies that.

So the next series of slides is, again, I don't know what those PDFs are that are out there on the database for this presentation, but this is the output of the print function in the QGIS tool under the drawCA plug in.

So this is the 34th and you can see it gives you a little more contrast, it gives you the city boundaries and also gives you some statistics so you can kind of look at not only Latino but the other representations. This is the 37th. The 38th, it's kind of in the middle. A lot of small cities. The 43rd.

And then the 44th and I'm sorry, the tool doesn't --didn't draw the District number where it's supposed to be, but it's the blue vertical district in the middle there, Carson City, Compton City. And you can see those statistics.

Now, it is ends up as being as growing in Latino population to seventy-five. And again, I don't know what the threshold is for being a park district or whether that's a problem. It also has a large population that somehow you need to -- you need to cross level. 47th, unfortunately, the tool draws it on the island of Catalina, when really most of it is in the landward side of the 47th.

And that is the end of that. Going to the tool for just a minute. So here, again, is the area I redrew. So again, I wanted to -- obviously there are some statistics that there are some areas that need to be evaluated or adjusted. And I wanted to start using communities of interest to do so.

I don't have no idea if, I-70 one side people have different interests than the other side of I-70. I just used it as a geographic boundary, the same with north of I-10 or south of I-10. So it continued work. But I cannot get to any approved, if there are such a thing, the communities of interest overlays, although I was told that the tool was capable of doing that.

So at this point, I was going to -- that's all I have. I wanted to open it up for any questions, but I guess those aren't allowed. I also submitted some other districts for Assembly and Senate, but there really was no change, so you can kind of ignore them.

My whole idea was that I think that's going to be very difficult to get acceptable new districts starting from scratch in the time available. And I believe that the state would be best served by continuing to use the current districts for 2020 and using the District -- the new districts that you're working on after the 2020 election, because you'd have time to not only refine them

- and converge them, but also gain public acceptance of them.
- I don't know how you do that. I know the Commission
 under constraints, but that's my particular view. I
- 5 think it would be better to have eight years of districts
- 6 that are very widely accepted rather than ten years of
- 7 districts that are drawn with such a rush that there will
- 8 never be accepted for the ten years.
- 9 And as I understand it, there's a precedent in that
- 10 | in 1990, I guess Congress decided to defer
- 11 | reapportionment for ten years. So if Congress could do
- 12 | it for ten years, I don't know why the state couldn't do
- 13 | it for two.
- So that's my presentation. I don't know if it
- 15 helps. I hope it does give you some ideas. I'd like to
- 16 | continue work on it.
- 17 MR. MANOFF: One minute.
- 18 MR. TETER: But I'll give back my time, the little
- 19 that I have left to the next person. Thank you.
- 20 CHAIR SADHWANI: Very good. Thank you so much, Mr.
- 21 Teter. Just in regards to your concerns about the
- 22 documents that have been posted, please do feel free to
- 23 | send your presentation to the VotersFIRSTAct@CRC.CA.Gov.
- 24 | That's our email address. And it can be posted so that
- 25 everyone has access to them. Again, it's



1 VotersFIRSTAct@CRC.CA.Gov at CRC. Thank you so much. PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And right now we will 3 have PMI 018. And then up next after that will be PMI 019(a) and then PMI 019(b) and (c) will be joining (a). 4 5 Right now we will have PMI 018. I will be promoting 6 you. PMI 018, you can now enable your audio and video in 7 the lower left corner of your screen. 8 MR. HOLLOWAY: Okay. 9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And your please share 10 your maps. One moment. Hi. If you will, please share 11 your maps prior to you beginning your narrative. 12 MR. HOLLOWAY: Okay. Hang on a second. This is 13 Brian Holloway. 14 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Welcome. 15 MR. HOLLOWAY: And I'm trying to find my exhibit, 16 which I'm having a little trouble finding. 17 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Well, do not feel rushed. 18 MR. HOLLOWAY: Can you hear me? 19 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. And do not 2.0 feel rushed. 21 MR. HOLLOWAY: Hello? 22 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We are slightly ahead of 23 schedule. Can you hear me? 24 MR. HOLLOWAY: Can you confirm that I'm on? 25 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: I can confirm that you

1 are on. 2 MR. HOLLOWAY: Okay. 3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: You may want to check 4 your --5 MR. HOLLOWAY: So I'm not -- it looks like I'm not going to be able to share my exhibit. It's just a map 6 7 which I'll describe in a minute. So just a moment. PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: One moment. One moment. 8 9 MR. HOLLOWAY: I will be able to provide testimony. 10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sir. Sir, sir, one 11 moment. 12 MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes. 13 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We can share your map for 14 you. Just one moment. 15 MR. HOLLOWAY: Oh, that's it. Perfect. 16 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yeah. 17 MR. HOLLOWAY: That's it. 18 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right. There you go. 19 The floor is yours. 2.0 MR. HOLLOWAY: All right. So thank you, again, for allowing me to testify today. My name is Brian Holloway, 21 22 and I'm a resident of the city of Sacramento. I've lived in Sacramento since 1950. Born and raised here, started 23 24 my family here, started a business here. But in addition 25 to that, I'm also we elected Trustee of the American

1 River Flood Control District.

2.0

So today I'm here to request that you keep the area of Sacramento that sits in the floodplain together in one district. And the map here that I'm showing you is the outline -- is the city of Sacramento. And you can see that almost all of the city of Sacramento is included in the floodplain.

The different colors represent different areas of risk within the floodplain. But this is basically the floodplain and it covers most of the city of Sacramento. So to keep it in one federal district is extremely important.

Since the city of Sacramento was founded, it's worked hard to fortify its flood protection system. The city of Sacramento used to flood regularly before the levees were built.

And we have two rivers that bisect the city of Sacramento, the American river, which is sort of the green squiggly line that you see. And then the left side is the Sacramento River. So for example, our downtown is actually adjacent to both of those rivers.

And they not only provide us with beauty and recreation, but also great peril during high water events. We're one of the highest at-risk communities in the nation for flooding. Fortunately, over many decades

of work by our local state and especially our federal government, our flood protection now is strong, but it needs to be stronger in the decades to come.

2.3

This flood protection system consists of tall levees, wide bypasses, and multiple weirs that convey the flood waters from the river into the bay passes that go to the San Francisco Bay area and out to the ocean.

Millions and millions of dollars have been invested in our system already. We are grateful for this investment. But because it keeps Sacramento safe and our residents can sleep better at night knowing they're protected.

Our state and federal representatives have worked hand-in-hand for many years with our flood agency, the SAFCA -- the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, which I also sit on, and also our other local flood districts, (indiscernible) and my district in America River Flood Control District.

The majority of our flood protection comes from the federal government. Most of that funding is from the federal government. These projects are far too expensive for local agencies like SAFCA and American River to ever afford to build on their own.

For these reasons, I request that your Commission keep the flood plain area in Sacramento together in one

1 district at both the state and federal levels. We need to finish this critical work that we've begun because 3 we're working to try and go from what was 500-year risk to merely one -- excuse me, to go from 100-year risk to 4 5 500-year risk, which is the highest level of protection that could be provided. 6 7 I want to thank the commissioners for allowing me to 8 share my testimony today. And do you have any questions? 9 CHAIR SADHWANI: Unfortunately, we are not taking 10 any questions at this time. 11 MR. HOLLOWAY: Okay. 12 CHAIR SADHWANI: But thank you so much for this 13 presentation, Mr. Holloway. This is great. 14 MR. HOLLOWAY: And I'd like to pass any additional 15 time on to the next presenter. Thank you very much. 16 CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you. 17 MR. HOLLOWAY: Bye-bye. 18 CHAIR SADHWANI: Bye. 19 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Right now we will have 20 PMI 019(a). I believe that's all we have with us at this 21 time from that group. So PMI 019(a). And then up next 22 will be PMI 020. 2.3 PMI 019(a), I will be promoting you now. PMI 019, 24 you can now enable your audio and video. Hello. 25

team there. Are you all of the people that were listed

1 in the lines PMI 019, the A, B, and C? Yes. 2 MS. LAFFERTY: Yes. 3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: You're all together? MS. LAFFERTY: Yes, We're all together. 4 5 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Perfect. And we see your maps here at headquarters, so the floor is yours. 6 7 MS. LAFFERTY: Great. Thank you very much. My name is Allison Lafferty, and we're here to present to you why 8 9 we believe that the commission should keep San Joaquin 10 County together. 11 So numerous members of the Business Council of San 12 Joaquin County and its community partners retained the 13 services of professional mapping company to help prepare 14 the maps that you see here on the screen. 15 And we have -- we will present to you a 16 Congressional district which is -- encompasses the Salmon 17 King County entirely. We have a state district and 18 completely nested assembly districts, which we will go 19 through in this presentation. 2.0 We also submitted a written narrative to the 21 Commission describing why we believe that San Joaquin 22 County represents a unique community of interest and why 2.3 the county should be kept together. These maps were 24 created with input from a diverse coalition of community

members with one primary goal in mind. We wanted to find

25

a way to keep San Joaquin County together because the county together is stronger.

2.0

In in August, San Joaquin County, with the help of a market research firm, surveyed its residents to get a sense of what was important to the residents of San Joaquin County during this redistricting process.

The residents were asked what was important to their community, and the survey results show that the most important issues for the community are preserving and enhancing agriculture, preserving, and protecting the delta water and water quality issues.

And the residents also felt that housing affordability and accessibility and homelessness were extremely important issues to be addressed. These are the types of issues that are unique to San Joaquin County. The issues may be similar in the Bay Area, but they are distinct, especially with respect to agriculture and water issues. And we are looking -- the residents of San Joaquin County are looking to have a unified voice on those issues.

The challenges that San Joaquin County faces with respect to housing affordability and accessibility are unique to San Joaquin County given its socioeconomic status. So I have here today with me Ken Vogel, and he's going to provide his views on this subject.

MR. VOGEL: Thank you for the opportunity to, again, address your commission. My name is Ken Vogel, a retired county supervisor, retired school principal, and board of directors of our local Farm Bureau. I have been part of the agricultural community in this county for 57 years.

I am part of a group spearheaded by the Business Council of San Joaquin County that has reached out to many diverse groups, propose these revised district configurations that we bring before you today.

We feel that our county is unique in several different ways. A survey done by San Joaquin County, as you've heard, learned the top two issues in our county is agriculture and water, which are very understandable as we are an agricultural-based economy with almost \$6 billion being contributed to the economy for the production of 200 different crops and the processing, marketing, and transportation of these products and all the related support industries involved.

By the way, yesterday was National Apple Day and San Joaquin County produced 28,300 tons of apples last year. We are also unique in that San Joaquin County has become a major distribution hub for all kinds of products that arrive here by truck, mail, air, and water.

We have our own airport, unrestricted as to usage as some urban airports are. We have our own port that



connects us to ocean transport. We have a huge intermodal yard of the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad that connects to all our major roadways and also the Union Pacific Intermodal Yard in Lathrop with access to I-5.

2.3

The major roadways are I-5, 99, and I-205. We also have four state highways connecting us to our mother lode neighbors, 88, 26, 12 and 4. We have a tremendous boom in warehouse capacity based upon this distribution network. And the local job opportunities here in our county are growing.

All of the things I have mentioned work to unite San Joaquin County in shared interests and caring for each other. There was tremendous support among our county's varied population groups for the new veterans clinic that was advocated for a number of years and is finally under construction.

This clinic will serve veterans from our county and other areas and save them from the long drive out veterans services and now exist. We have private volunteer groups who, with the support of various business groups, reach out to help the needy with regular food distribution in many areas. I submit to you that these activities unite us in caring for the welfare of our citizens just as our agricultural basis unites our

economy.

2.3

San Joaquin's County unity is a source of our strength. We genuinely care for each other and are willing to sacrifice to help our further neighbors.

Based upon this unity, we have proposed the maps that are now before you to represent the people of our county and other areas that we share a common Economic and Central Valley interest with.

One, San Joaquin County can be included in its own Congressional District. Two, San Joaquin County can be included in the 5th Senate District as it is now exists, with some minor changes from 2010. San Joaquin County can have two assembly districts nested in the Senate district as it was first suggested as a model in 2010.

These models, these maps are very similar to those proposed by the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors.

Our group participated in advisory meetings with the county and appreciate so much their effort.

The differences are to make the population work for the Congressional District we detached the community of Mountain House on our western border in its entirety. We wanted to respect the integrity of this community.

We also attached this community from the assembly districts to make the numbers work. To be consistent, we need the same in the formation of the 5th Senate



District, we suggested a shift of the fifth Senate

District to the South so there would be only inclusive of

San Joaquin County and parts of Stanislaus County instead

of having a third county involved.

We hope that you would seriously give consideration to these proposals as the Business Council has reached out to many community groups to find some common ground for our proposals. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We wanted to review the maps with you briefly so you had some information about how these remarks were drawn. And as you can see from the data and demographics of each of the maps drawn, careful consideration was taken to ensure the map meet the commission's criteria.

As you can see, the districts are contiguous. They keep San Joaquin County together. They are drawn to minimize divisions of cities and neighborhoods. The districts are compact. The population areas are not bypassed. Census blocks are not split. The two proposed assembly districts for San Joaquin County nest completely within the Senate district.

The maps we propose also reflect the natural physical barriers that define San Joaquin County, the Sacramento River Delta, and the Altamont to the North.

Sacramento River Delta to the North. The Altamont to the

West. The open agricultural lands to the north. And the foothills to the east. And the lands of the Stanislaus River. And open agricultural land to the south.

2.0

2.3

the Bay Area.

If you look at our Congressional District, it is almost a perfect size to fit San Joaquin County. We were able to draw the lines consistent with the existing boundaries. And in order to meet the population. The only community that was excluded was Mountain House.

We excluded Mountain House due to the similarities with

San Joaquin median income is approximately 74,000 and we have experienced a twenty-four percent increase in the median home prices and our rents have soared. The nation's second highest, where Mountain House is more in line to Bay Area pricing. The population for this proposed district, as you can see, is 758,483.

The next map we present to you is the state Senate district, very similar to the prior district. We kept San Joaquin County together whole and we added some areas of Stanislaus County, the most similar of the areas.

We were careful to use major landmark of Highway 99 as a border, as well as keeping the smaller rural cities in Stanislaus County whole. We included Stanislaus County as opposed to a Bay Area county because of the similarities in the agriculture workforce and the

1 demographics of the population.

2.3

And now looking at the Assembly District, District A, we put together the agricultural areas of northeastern Stanislaus County, with the agricultural areas of San Joaquin County, keeping the more similarly situated agricultural areas together in one district.

Assembly District B includes the city of Stockton as a whole and is joined with the city of Tracy, the more urban areas of the San Joaquin County. We were very aware of the components of our community and based on the data provided, we found 23.33 percent of the population is foreign born and 40.9 percent of the homes speak primarily a language other than English.

Also, concerns regarding housing affordability and accessibility lead us to the conclusion that this District B is in the best interest of the community.

In 2010, the Senate district for San Joaquin County was kept together for the first time and as a result of that, progress was made. We are asking that that progress be allowed to continue, that we allow for local representation at both the state and federal level. This will allow -- this will directly benefit the lives of the citizens of San Joaquin County.

We worked very hard to put together a Senate

Congressional and two Assembly districts that fairly

1 represent the people of our diverse communities. maps will ensure equal representation at the state and 3 federal level and ensure San Joaquin County prospers and has the ability for a better tomorrow. 4 5 We thank you for giving us this opportunity to present to you today. And we will release the rest of 6 7 our time. Thank you. Thank you so much. 8 CHAIR SADHWANI: 9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Right now we will have 10 PMI 020. I'll be promoting you now. PMI 020, you can 11 now enable your audio and video. And if you will, please 12 share your maps prior to beginning your narrative. 13 MS. MORAZA: Good morning. 14 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Good morning. 15 MS. MORAZA: Yes. Let me go ahead and plug my mouse 16 and we can go ahead and get started. 17 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Absolutely. 18 MS. MORAZA: All right. 19 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And we see you. The 20 floor is yours. 21 MS. MORAZA: Good morning. My name is Marisa 22 Moraza. And I'm here with Power California. 23 to be here today and appreciate the opportunity to 24 participate in today's meeting and the opportunity to

engage with the Commission.

25

So just a bit of background on Power California.

Power California's mission is to harness the energy of young voters of color and their families to create a state that is equitable, inclusive, and just for everyone who calls California home.

2.0

2.3

Power California is a statewide organization and also has used membership bases in Fresno and Merced counties. And our maps will be presenting assembly districts in those areas in particular. The largest voting bloc in the Central Valley is young Latinx black AAPI voters who are twenty-six and younger. And their voter turnout is continuing to increase.

I, myself, am born and raised in the Central Valley and now live in Fresno, and I work closely with young people ages 16 to 24 in the Fresno Emerson areas. Today, I'm sharing two assembly maps for the Central Valley. The Central Valley is growing and maps here reflect this growth amongst communities of color, working class and immigrant communities.

These maps take into consideration the issues and concerns the Central Valley faces from agricultural, environmental impacts, labor and farm working communities concerns, transportation infrastructure, and sprawling development across Fresno and Merced County.

So presented here are considerations for creating



1 VRA districts in the Central Valley, showcasing two possibilities here centered around a Fresno urban core 3 area in West Fresno and Mercer County District. We have 4 worked with partner organizations to align with 5 priorities -- to align on priorities of racial justice, building multiracial districts, and keeping communities 6 7 of interest together. And in particular, maps were created for the California Black Census and Redistricting 8 9 Hub by USC Equity Research Institute. 10 So I'll go ahead and begin with this first map here 11 labeled 8031, which does have a CVAP of 50.58 percent. 12 And so this map centers around an urban core. And in 13 Fresno, there is a North-South divides is often a tale of 14 two cities. And this usually runs across Shaw Avenue, 15 which I'm highlighting here. I apologize for any 16 background noise. There is a lawnmower. 17 So zip codes in south Fresno. So below this line 18 here have worse air quality, lower life expectancy, 19 higher poverty levels, and higher rates of chronic 20 illness. And in fact, the new CalEnviroScreener 4.0 21 shows South Fresno, West, Fresno, in addition to Fowler 22 here, Selma, and Sangre in the 90th percentile for 2.3 environmental burdens. And actually, most of these 24 communities rank in the 95th to 99th percentile.

In particular a west Fresno neighborhood. So right

25

here, kind of sliced along the 99 and the 41 is ranked as California census tract, most burdened by environmental pollution. And West Park, just to the west of that, a more rural neighborhood just outside city limits, share similar concerns in addition to infrastructure needs and water constraints as well.

And so this Fresno area presented here in this map has high needs and has been impacted by a legacy of environmental racism, discriminatory housing and policy practices, and neglect from elected leaders.

Another key point is this map keeps Black, Hmong, and Latino community of interest together, while also keeping together working-class populations in a district that has more in common with each other versus North Fresno and Clovis, which is more affluent and sprawling as well.

There's also been many comments submitted about having old saying West Fresno connected and to keep intact Black communities of interest. And that is presented here in this map as well.

Lastly, there is -- this map also takes into consideration different education systems and districts.

And so Fresno City College, Fresno Pacific University, and New West Fresno Community Campus and Fresno State up here in the northwest are intact. And the priority is to

not dilute votes for young people and include the university and surrounding student housing.

2.0

County, we continue to move west from that Fresno core district. And this has a Latino CVAP of 53.88 percent. Here there are many disparities and inequalities that impact communities of color, immigrants, working class families such as environmental issues, as pesticide runoff, poor air quality, concerns about labor, housing shortages, affordability, infrastructure concerns in transportation.

So moving to our next map. So here in Merced

And so here we do have the 5 and the 99 as connecting throwaways that are included in this area as well. And we do have communities from Fresno Counties just really keen for. Riverdale, Caruthers, Huron, and Coalinga included in this map.

And then we continue to move up across west Fresno

County and of course, the Western boundaries, the coastal

mountain range. So it's important to note this Assembly

district maintains farmworker communities, of course, in

west Fresno.

And then moving to the northern part. It is important for community members that Atwater, Merced, and Winton are kept together in a district together, the rest of Merced County versus Delhi, and Turlock. And that

1	smaller, fast growing and largely communities of colors
2	be grouped together with surrounding communities in the
3	Merced County facing similar challenges and
4	opportunities.
5	And then the city of Merced continues to grow in
6	population with UC Merced as a growing hub. And it's
7	important that the city of Merced be kept whole and in
8	the District with the university.
9	So thank you so much for your time. I appreciate
10	this opportunity and hope to continue to engage in
11	conversation.
12	CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you so much for your
13	presentation.
14	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And that is all of our
15	presentations at this time.
16	CHAIR SADHWANI: Okay. So at this point in time, we
17	are going to go on an extended break. I believe we have
18	a cancellation in this morning's lineup of appointments.
19	So we will be on break and coming back at 11:15. Thank
20	you so much. Everybody will come back at 11:15 for
21	session 2.
22	(Whereupon, a recess was held)
23	CHAIR SADHWANI: Welcome back to the California
24	Citizens Redistricting Commission as we continue to
25	receive public map input for those with appointments. I

1 believe we have a full schedule, so I am going to turn it 2 over to Katy, our comment moderator to kick us off. 3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Wonderful. Thank you, 4 Welcome to the public input session. When it is 5 your turn to speak, you will be identified by your assigned unique ID number. 6 7 You will be reconnected to the session with the ability to enable your own video and/or audio to enable 8 9 screen share -- and to enable screen sharing. Please 10 have your maps handy prior to your appointment start time 11 in order to enable screen sharing. 12 The Commission will be enforcing appointment time 13 limits with a warning at one minute and a warning at 14 thirty seconds remaining. At the end of your public 15 input or at the end of your time, you will be reconnected 16 in a listen and view only mode. 17 And right now we will have PMI 21(b). I will be 18 promoting you now. PMI 21(b), you can now enable your 19 audio and video. If you will, please share your maps prior to beginning your narrative that will begin your 20 21 time. 22 The nice haircut. Oh. CHAIR SADHWANI: 2.3 MR. OCHOA: Good morning. Can you hear me and see

Thank you

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

24

25

the slides?

1 so much.

2.0

MR. OCHOA: Is it regular mode or presenter mode?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: It is in -- it looks like

it's in presenter mode.

MR. OCHOA: All right.

MR. MANOFF: Actually, that looks correct.

MR. OCHOA: Okay. All right. Well, good morning -early afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Steven Ochoa.

And I am MALDEF's national redistricting coordinator.

And I'm here to -- this is the first of two back-to-back

sessions for MALDEF. And so combined, we're here to present our statewide assembly plan and our statewide congressional plan.

For those of you who don't know, MALDEF is the Mexican-American Legal Defense and Educational Fund. We are a nonprofit civil rights law firm. We are those lawyers in Latino community founded in 1968. We have been working on voting rights and redistricting issues since our founding over fifty years ago.

MALDEF is a national organization with a nationally strong program. We have a multiple state strategy from coast-to-coast, and we pair demographers, attorneys, and GIS -- and demographers, attorneys, and coordinators to help the Latino community with a specific focus on giving increasing opportunities to elect candidates of choice at

all levels of jurisdiction.

2.3

Specifically in California, MALDEF has been very active this cycle. We have been host -- we have been cohosting or hosting over sixteen workshops, community education workshops. And these workshops are important because not only do they educate the community, but they also give us -- and they give us a chance to receive public input, which informs some of our mapping decisions.

We also provide community technical assistance in the form of mapping support or map analysis, and we are part of many, many statewide collaborations -- tables.

We're part of the Unity Mapping Table. We're part of the CA Coalition collaborative. And we are -- we are very many local partners for very local redistricting.

So I think it's important just to set the stage for what's to come over the next hour. What are the mapping principles of MALDEF? And it's very simple. And MALDEF draws for the constitution, for the U.S. law, for federal law, the federal voting rights in particular, and then respecting as many communities of interest as possible, as complying with the laws above allow.

These communities of interest inputs are informed by our workshops and our collaborations. And obviously once a jurisdiction completes its plans, passes plans it's



plans, if necessary, we have litigated in the past. And these are some examples of some key redistricting litigation that we've done relevant to California and/or the Voting Rights Act.

What are our mapping goals for this California redistricting site? What are our California goals for 2021, obviously, it's to comply with U.S. and California law. And then within that, to develop a plan that respects California's demographics.

And very specifically, we are very -- we very much want all California statewide plans to reflect the Latino population growth. And specifically, and just specifically, this Latino population growth has been driven by increases in citizen voting age population.

This is a -- this is a time series of ACS data over the last ten years. And yes, the Latino population is growing, which is represented by the bar at the top, the total population. But you can see by the lines at the bottom, our kids are not growing. Our noncitizen adults are not growing in California.

Our population growth, according to the ACS, is been fueled by growth in citizen voting age population that is growth, a population that could become eligible voters.

So this is something that is very important that overall your California plans reflect this new demographic and

1 potential reality.

2.3

So that said, very quickly, I want to do an overview of the two plans and then dive specifically into assembly. Afterwards, my colleagues to join me for the second session to go through our Congressional plan.

And also overall, I just want to make sure they're out-- because I'm going to be using these terms throughout the presentation. I want to make clear the distinction between what I call Latino majority district, a Latino opportunity district, and a Latino influenced district.

Latino majority district is a district where Latinos comprise fifty percent plus one of the citizen voting age population or CVAP. And these districts may be mandated by the Federal Voting Rights Act, depending on additional analysis.

A Latino Opportunity district is a district in which Latinos can effectively elect a candidate of choice.

Now, there are situations here in California and across the nation where Latinos can elect the candidate of choice in a district under fifty percent CVAP.

And then there are many situations here in California and across the nation where Latinos have over fifty percent CVAP but are still not able to elect the candidate of choice.

So when we do our map analysis, it is not only just looking for the voting rights standard and Prong I, which is the first of many steps of voting rights compliance, We we're also looking at the effectiveness, which also can feed into the VRA as well.

2.3

So we are -- what are the contributing factors? It could be registration and votes. It could be RPV. It can be the election system. I also just recall that California now has a top two primary system which does affect communities abilities to elect candidates of choice.

And finally, we do have Latino influence districts.

And these are districts where Latinos are not the majority population, but they can still substantially influence the election and hopefully -- and influence the decisions of the elected representative.

So with that said, just -- I want you to understand the MALDEF plans -- Congress and Assembly, the congressional plan has a total population range of six people. That's plus or minus three people. And it contains 16 majority CVAP districts. It also contains three new Latino opportunity districts.

And our assembly plan has a population range of 5.91 percent and contains twenty-four Latino majority CVAP districts, and that includes five new opportunity

districts in the Latino community.

2.0

So that comparison said, let's drive straight into the assembly plan for the rest of this time. So as I stated overall, in compliance with our -- the rank, the criteria of the commission, our plan deviation is within acceptable tolerance for a free assembly plan of 5.91 percent.

Regarding VRA compliance, we are -- we have developed twenty-four total, but the majority CVAP Districts compared to the current plan, the benchmark, so which is the current plan, has seventeen. And we can create compared to benchmark five new Latino opportunity districts.

Our districts are contiguous and we did our best to preserve as many communities of interest cities and/or counties as possible, dependent on complying with total population and voting rights compliance needs. And many of those COIs were informed by our partnerships and our workshops.

And the nesting, when we're talking about assembly. It's not messiness. We did it -- and we didn't complete a Senate plan for at this time. We will submit one eventually in a report which has to speak for itself. You're going to find that you're going to have many similar map architecture points between the Assembly and

the Congressional plan.

2.3

These are the twenty-four Latino districts in our plan. Just note our numbering, while we did our best to match the numbering to the current benchmark districts, that was based primarily for public -- ease of public comparison.

When you complete your work, at some point, you will remember districts as your law -- as the law requires that this does list which ones our new majority CVAP and or new Latino opportunity districts.

And these are our seven influence districts which I will be going through in great detail right now. So overall, again, our statewide plan as a you'll see, I'll be having some various regional comparisons. When you see a regional comparison, the benchmark current plans are on the left, the MALDEF plan is on the right.

The red areas are Latino majority CVAP districts, the pink are not -- are under 50. So we'll call them influence districts. And these blue districts that you'll see, those are districts that various other partners have given to us For time sake. I cannot go through every all eighty assembly districts and all fifty-two congressional districts.

So I'm not going to be talking about those today.

I'm going to be talking about the Latino districts of

interest at this time being. But just note, in our

California plans, we did our best to incorporate other

regional partners' maps, and they might not be an exact

match.

We did our best to try to incorporate them where we could. And those are just these are important districts of interest to other communities that we tried to respect. Although I will not be talking about them here. They are part of the map architecture that we are trying to develop.

So that's it. Let's go directly into our assembly plan. So let's focus first on the Central Valley and central California. This area, particularly the Central Valley, had a significant total population and Latino citizen population growth.

You can see on the left, the current plant only has between the Central Coast and Central Valley have three Latino majority districts and four influence districts.

The MALDEF plan creates four Latino majority districts, plus additional to influence districts.

Two out of those four -- two of those four districts are additional. All four opportunities. Two of them are new opportunities in which we feel that this body would be compelled to include in your plan.

Our District 13 starting north to south is San

Joaquin -- is Stockton based, did our best to keep it wholly within Stockton or within San Joaquin California and Stockton whole with some surrounding cities running north-south along the 5 and 91. So that's -- so this is a influenced district. It's a thirty-two percent CVAP.

District south of that, what we call 23, is also an influence district. This is actually is very significant influence district. This is done in the Central Valley, we had various partners, including the CNC and Dolores Huerta Foundation, giving us a lot of guidance.

But this is a district we feel is a very strong influence district on its way to becoming a majority district. Yes, sure there would after some time. But these have the -- these have the majority areas of Ceres, parts of Modesto, Manteca, Lathrop, and Tracy to the north and parts Tulare city and parts of Modesto City, which basically run along the 99 freeway.

This is a very significant Latino influence district. South of that is one of our new Latino majority and new Latino opportunity districts. This is a Merced based -- Merced to West Fresno County District.

This is the entire county of Merced with parts of

Madera -- Madera city, which was western Madera County,

including Madera City in Chowchilla. And then going down

into west Fresno, but not Fresno -- not the Fresno City

1 urban area, primarily the western part of Fresno County.

A lot of farming towns, a lot of rural areas. And we're
Merced based as well.

CD 26 to the south of that is an additional new

Latino majority and opportunity district. This is

primarily Tulare based -- a Tulare based district. It

takes most of the western part of Tulare county with most

of Kings County and a little bit of Fresno County just

for top population and voting rights compliance needs.

Specifically, I want to call attention to there is a corridor Tulare and Kings that are basically between routes 198 and 187. And those corridors help remove some key parts of Tulare city by Visalia City, and Hanford.

These are areas we are looking at.

After doing some analysis on election returns and talking with community partners and community organizers about -- that felt that just these are areas that just do not support Latino candidates of choice. So we're moving these areas, while hard, are necessary to make this District an effective district and not only an opportunity, a majority, but an opportunity as well.

And then finally we were on the Central Coast. We have we are maintaining another district that is basically interior Monetary going into San Benita county, and it goes off -- does go all the way up to Gilroy and

San Martin in the South, Santa Clara County.

2.3

This is another district that should be continued to be maintained. The Tulare County has long been separated from the coastal communities in Monterey County in the past for various voting rights reasons, and it has helped keep these communities voting together. Historically, they've been voting together for decades to elect candidates of choice.

Fresno. We are able to make this District actually more compact compared to the current district. But it's basically this District now is Metro Fresno based with a little bit of that's the farm towns in Selma towards the Selma region. We basically split Fresno roughly along Boldon state Road and West and kind of then moving along, generally speaking around Ashland Avenue.

We also did -- we did -- we took great care to try to incorporate the Sunny Side Farms among community of interest that was described to us by our friends at Asian-Americans Advancing Justice and many of our partners on the ground, like those were the foundation and CNC said, they did -- they would like to be paired with them as well. And we were able to do that and in a way that keeps this a Latino majority and opportunity district for Fresno and centered in Fresno where before

it was a much more expansive area.

2.0

And finally, in the Central Valley, we have now another district to maintain. And this District previously went up north to Tulare County. But population growth and CVAP growth have allowed it to now stay totally within Kern.

And so this is a Kern County based district. It's fifty percent. It's a majority district and an opportunity. And it maintains many of the areas that have been litigated in the past. So the parts of Bakersfield, the farm towns of McFarland and Delano towards the north.

These are obviously great areas of interest to us as MALDEF, given our recent current county board supervisor litigation in 2016 and we worked with the Dolores Huerta Foundation and other partners who really focused on Bakersfield specifically to help us make some of these edits to where our respectful and cuts to make this an effective -- maintain this as an effective opportunity district.

And that was the Central Coast. The next region, which, obviously is clearly the easiest region to talk about, is the Los Angeles County -- the Southern California, Los Angeles area.

So this is probably the biggest -- this is the



probably the biggest puzzle that you will have to -- to figure out in all your districting plans. Los Angeles County in particular has an area with much -- is very, very diverse.

2.0

It has many communities which have many VRA considerations. But it also had an area -- it was also an area that was -- where it was needing total population after the census. So many of these benchmark districts you saw on the left, we needed to physically expand capturing a total population.

So that plus the VRA considerations plus the various underrepresented communities who want to keep their communities of interest together really make for a complex puzzle to try to detangle.

And we feel, you know, in molecules, we've found a reasonable way to untangle this that not only respects the county, but respects all these communities who have historically been fighting for and trying to maintain their respect, their communities of interest.

Before I get into L.A. County proper, let me talk about just the surrounding areas. First, we drew an influence, a very strong influence district in the Ventura County. It was very, very important to our communities, frankly, ten years ago and today to draw out the bond towns of Piru, Fillmore, etcetera, all the way

down towards the Oxnard Port Hueneme communities of interest, that's a central core that makes this for a very, very strong influence. You can see it's almost forty-four percent Latino CVAP.

2.3

The current benchmark actually separates these farm towns from the Oxnard area. And that is just -- I mean, that's -- there is just -- and you have, I'm sure, already received significant community interest input to create a district like this.

And we at MALDEF absolutely feel this is an excellent district that should be maintained. And obviously that District also gets the Ventura Islands.

The other -- a new -- outside of the alley metro area, the new -- a new opportunity district, a new majority district is in the in the kind of Palmdale towards a high desert community.

This is a new fifty percent see that District 50.63 percent according to statewide database data. And we pair basically the portions of the Palmdale-Lancaster there east of Route 14 with the -- as many of the community -- high desert communities in the Victorville, Hesperia, Adelanto area.

There were some total population constraints obviously it would be we would have added more communities if we could. But the total population

constraints and the very constraints forces to try to follow as many -- make some cuts. And we did our best to make the cuts along the city lines or major roads in a respectful manner. But we believe this is a new opportunity for the Latino community in the high desert and Palmdale-Lancaster area.

2.3

But now I'm moving for actual proper L.A. Metro with a touch of Orange County. L.A. County was a hard no. In L.A. Metro there -- the benchmark had nine majority districts, two of which are historic -- have historically elected African-American candidate of choice and one influence.

The MALDEF plan creates ten majority C back districts, plus an influence district in North or in Orange County. And it just -- and it maintains -- it maintains a new opportunity and it creates new opportunity for us.

And it maintains its historic districts that have elected African-American candidates of choice and respect the Asian-American VRA interests and the various -- some of the various communities of interest throughout the county.

That was a lot to unpack, and I will go through them in detail. But we believe this is a good solution towards the L.A. County puzzle that you should

incorporate.

2.3

Going specifically district by district. First, 39, which is in the San Fernando Valley. This is one of the eight current districts. Their current area actually has one district and you now have two fifty percent CVAP districts. This is one configuration where we put Pacoima, all the way down through Van Nuys and -- let me redo that -- is that Winnetka -- Lake Balboa.

So this area, we did our best to respect communities of -- neighborhood boundaries as community of interest proxies. But in a way with our important certainly in L.A. City redistricting and this was a way to draw a fifty percent district in concert with its neighbor -- its new neighbor to the north.

This would be new Assembly District 43, which is now anchored by Sylmar and San Fernando City, and then going through the North Hills communities and then towards -- going then west towards the Canoga Park area.

So this is one of the new opportunities in Los

Angeles County. And I think it's the new Latino majority

district. I forgot to add that to the slide.

Next district, District 51. This is a northeast

L.A. based district. This area was actually very hard to

draw. The area has been going through a lot of

gentrification in the Northeast cities. So there are

there is also VRA consideration to the Asian community, to its east. And then there's VRA considerations to its south for Latino and trying to respect African-American communities of interest districts as well.

2.0

So this district was drawn in a way to basically put all the Northeast communities together. It is going into South Pasadena mostly for total population needs. East L.A. is generally kept whole in this District. Not totally, though.

It had to be split for VRA consideration for the district itself. And then it goes all the way towards the East Hollywood community. But you can call this a Sunset Boulevard district, if you wish, and a Northeast Districts.

And the district immediately to its south, though, which is also the least -- those two districts kind of draw in conjunction and trying to balance each other. So this district is Boyle Heights based with some East L.A. and Commerce to anchor it.

It also did it -- we also did our best to respect some of our AAPI community of interest as described to us by our friends at Asian Americans Advancing Justice. So this does keep the Chinatown, Little Tokyo, and Koreatown communities of interest together. So those enclaves together, is what you requested.

We cannot fit in Thai town, which is in East

Hollywood for total population and very interest to keep

these two districts in this one and the one above it,

above fifty percent CVAP, but they are both like the

current majority and current opportunity districts that

we wanted to maintain.

2.3

The District just south of that in south L.A. This one is a Latino majority district. It is 59 percent CVAP as drawn here, but also is a significant African-American population. This is one of the districts that we also are trying to maintain about 30 percent black CVAP as well. So this is a black-brown district.

South L.A. has its own new emerging community growing in Latino population. But we are also trying to draw it in a way to also respect the African-American community, which is we worked -- ewe tried we listened to input from the blacks up in this area.

We have different lines, but we all share the same goal of trying to maintain a district for not only the Latino community, but for the African-American voices as well that are due to maintain this majority district.

Now, this is a Latino influenced district, but also an African American district of interest. We heard feedback to try to maintain this Inglewood-Hawthorne Community District together. We also gave it a LAX

1 community. But we tried to basically maintain try to maintain this District, which has been performing for the African-American community. And it's also a significant 3 4 Latino district -- influence district. So we have 5 interest in maintaining this this District as well. All right. Moving over a little bit now towards the 6 East San Gabriel Valley. So the East San Gabriel Valley, 7 this is a district that has existed and should continue to exist. It's 51 percent CVAP. It continually -- it 10 has the very compact communities of West Covina, El Monte, part of -- not El Monte -- Baldwin Park, Covina, 11 12 and Azusa really anchor this District. 13 But these districts, these cities are all very related. And it's my hometown. People are going back 14 15 and forth on the 10 and the 210 all over the place, and 16 the 65 and the 57 are very rough dividing lines for this 17 region as well, which be the core of this District. 18 This is also drawn in care to maintain its 19 effectiveness, going a little bit too far north. 20 generally stopped at Glendora and San Dimas roughly around Foothill or Route 66. So those cities are split 21 22 for some VRA ineffectiveness concerns. And we also took care because we drew a district to 2.3 24 itself. And this District is anchored by Montebello and

most of Pico Rivera and south El Monte -- and south of El

25

Monte City, and then the city of south of Monte to its west. But we also took great care. We worked with our friends at Asian Americans Advancing Justice, and they had a very strong community of interests from the Hacienda Heights to Diamond Bar area and Walnut.

2.3

So we try to keep those four areas that are of AAPI community of interest together. And they were -- then they actually asked could they be in this District. And then we were able to come to that.

This District does go into Chino Hills though just to give to wrap it up. And that was for total population needs as well as VRA needs because of the District to itself. Which is what we call this is a new district. This is the new and additional new majority and opportunity district for the Latino community in L.A. County.

So this District, which we call 70, is anchored basically in the Whittier, kind of the gate -- the 605 corridor districts, cities. So Whittier, Norwalk, Santa Fe Springs, La Habra, La Mirada.

These anchor these districts, we -- and we also we went in towards Brea mostly because we were listening to feedback from our friends in the at Asian Americans

Advancing Justice and said that Cerritos, Artesia, this District South, was going to prefer to be with Orange

County communities of interest.

So we were able to oblige that and that did force us to go into Bria for total population needs. But it was not also out of the realm of -- it was not ridiculous to put Bria and Harvard together. So a little of everything and to avoid an additional city split. But this is a new opportunity and a new majority district in L.A. County.

Finally, starting to go back south. The next two districts are two southeast cities-based districts. And the southeast cities are very, very dense, and very, very highly populated Latino community centers for L.A. County.

And we want to not only do we want to empower those communities, they have been historically marginalized, historically underrepresented, and historically paired with other communities as almost population fillers so they can be outvoted.

These working-class communities in the southeast cities, Maywood, Huntington Park, Bell, Bell Gardens, they would be community of interest with the areas to the south. But you do have to separate them for voting rights needs because you can actually turn out you would be packing the community into where they could have had an institute into one district where they could and in our plan have two.

So this District takes the northern community southeast cities stop short of the -- south of the southeast communities. And it goes down to Downey, Bellflower Whole, Lakewood whole. And it finishes at -- in parts of Long Beach basically stopping at Spring Street or the 405 in Long Beach City.

And we kind of had to go that far for total population needs and voting rights compliance needs to avoid parking and trying to respect the Cerritos-Artesia community of wanting to be with us with Orange County. So that shape it may help us draw this shape.

So this AD 63 is, again, the other -- the south city. So South Gate, Lynwood, Cudahy, Paramount, they anchor this District. And these are communities that deserve their own representative. They should be together. We've heard from -- we had feedback from different partners that, Linwood, Southgate, did not want to go towards Compton.

And so it was a complex discussion. And we chose to listen to our community partners. This is a district that also that it would be effective. And it goes all the way down toward basically north or western Long Beach, stopping basically as far south as about Seventh Street in Long Beach and following the 710.

We have to note this also stops at a to include a



Cambodian community as identified by the Asian-Americans
Advancing Justice, so they are kept whole with Signal
Hill. This is an effective district.

2.3

This was drawn in these two districts like this one, and the one above it were drawn north-south in part to avoid packing for the Latino community and also to avoid and to also make way for a to preserve and respect an African American opportunity to its west, which is what we call District 64.

This District is Compton-Carson based -- Compton,
Carson, Willowbrook based along with all the San PedroWilmington communities together, which were previously
split. So we were -- we had interests with those Latino
communities of interest together, along with the Harbor
Gateway and West Carson.

I know we hear a lot of feedback from AsianAmericans advancing justice. When you put West Carson
and Carson together, we're happy for feedback of not
wanting to split Carson if possible. And this is our way
of doing that while allowing for a Latino district, a new
district, to be inserted to this district's east
northeast and respecting an African-American ability to
elect influence, at very least, a candidate choice.

just now. I just want to finish up with some two --

All right. That finishes the run of L.A. County

- 1 L.A.-Orange County Districts of interest. Assembly
- 2 District 69, this is -- and I wanted to talk about this
- 3 | area of Orange County, in particular, Santa Ana, Garden
- 4 Grove, Central Anaheim. They have formed a core
- 5 community that has anchored Latino Opportunity to elect
- 6 in Orange County for decades.
- 7 And these are like this is the core of Latino
- 8 opportunity in Orange County. And so these areas must be
- 9 kept together. And they are accomplishing this, and they
- 10 have done so in this way.
- This is also they also add a little bit of Orange
- 12 | and parts of Tustin for total population needs, as well
- 13 | as to make an influence district to its north, as well as
- 14 to respect a Asian-American asset district of interest to
- 15 this district's west.
- 16 But this is a historic district that has been
- 17 electing for decades and this needs to be maintained.
- 18 And drawing it this way maintains that while still
- 19 drawing an influence district to its north.
- 20 So this District now is Fullerton, Placentia Whole,
- 21 | with the remainder of West Anaheim and finishing up in
- 22 Stanton. You see, this District is now thirty-six
- 23 percent Latino CVAP. It's a very significant influence
- 24 issue for a community.
- 25 But this -- these are also cities that generally --

but Fullerton, Central Anaheim, West, Anaheim generally together took a little piece of Buena Park for total population needs, as you can see, generally maintained city splits here and trying to avoid them.

But you know and that north part of Buena Park does have some community of interest relations with its neighboring parts of Fullerton. So not totally out of ground possibility it's a very strong influence and coalition district you'll see 23 percent Asian CVAP as well.

And again, these two districts are drawn -- while

I'm not presenting on it, to maintain a district to its

southwest, which pairs Cerritos, Artesia all the way down
towards the Fountain Valley area, which it presented on,

I believe, yesterday. That's the L.A. Orange County in a
nutshell.

I got about ten-fifteen minutes left to go through the rest of this state. I know it's a lot of district, guys. Stay with me. Stay with me. Drink some coffee. Go Dodgers.

All right. Inland Empire. The Inland Empire is an area -- another area, significant total population and Latino citizen voting age population growth in California. The benchmark, as you can see in kind of really west, we're talking about western San Bernardino

right now and Riverside has two majority CVAP districts

plus three influence. We turn these -- those influence

districts and we make five Latino majority CVAP districts

and three in San Bernardino County and two in Riverside

2.3

County.

Starting in the Pomona Valley. So this -- Pomona is part of L.A. County, but really, Pomona often sees itself more paired with Montclair, Upland, Chino, and Ontario going in that direction. And the districts that -- it has historically helped elect candidates of choice within these areas as well.

So this is a district that we maintain we call it 52. So Pomona, Chino, part of Ontario, and we do a month there and part of Ontario. And we split Ontario because of voting rights needs, because we create additional districts to its West.

So the following District 47 is another Latino majority district, and it's also an opportunity district. This is a district where we generally followed the ten freeway. So it's the rest of Ontario, most of Rancho Cucamonga, South Fontana, Bloomington, a little bit of Rialto, because that's the city line, Colton and stopping at Loma Linda.

I would say our communities really didn't want

Rancho Cucamonga in this District, but there was total

population and voting rights was compliance needs where we still had included some of -- but for the most part, though, the communities along the 10 freeway are very strong Latino communities of interest.

historically elected candidates of choice in the past.

But this also is Brian. This shape was also drawn

following the ten-freeway corridor to kind of respect the other district, which is 40. This is a new majority CVAP district.

They will -- and they -- this historic this region,

And we are also trying to really also to fulfill two goals. One, we heard from goals -- from community goals like our friends at the Inland Empowerment -- Inland United, a united group to keep San Bernardino City whole.

And we're also often heard about the community of interest called the Ebony Triangle, which is often bounded by the 10 and the 15 and the 215 in around Fontana and North Fontana and North Rialto. And we -- and we keep most of it not all of it depends on which community you're asking.

And we stopped out at Foothill Boulevard, which is the main thoroughfare for this Inland Empire area. And so our goal really here was to keep the Ebony Triangle whole and the city of San Bernardino whole with its own district. And this makes also makes a new Latino fifty

1 percent majority district. And it will be an opportunity 2 district.

2.0

2.3

To the south in western Riverside County, we there were two influence seats which we worked hard to strengthen to make it into two majority CVAP seats. The first is District 60. This District is anchored by Jurupa Valley and most of Riverside City for population and voting rights compliance needs. We did go -- we went to East Vale and we and parts of Corona.

It is absolutely paramount that the area of Norco not be part of this District community. I think you've heard many communities of interest testimony that Norco should not be part of this District.

Corona, we have -- parts of Corona we did have to include for voting rights compliance needs. And compliance of the VRA supersedes some of the community of interest and city split needs. So parts of Corona are included here to reach a population goal and maintain a fifty percent standard, which is the first part to comply the VRA.

But Jurupa Valley and Riverside City -- West
Riverside really are strong communities of interest and
anchor this District to this district's West Coast
District 61. This is another district that was an
influence district before coming in. And we also worked

straight to become a majority CVAP district. So this is a new majority district.

2.0

2.3

The core of this District is really Moreno Valley to Perris and then in the suburb, the Paris communities -- cities around it. So Mead Valley, Good Hope,

Meadowbrook. We heard a lot of feedback from our communities to try to keep the Lakeview through Homeland area together with Perris. And then now we kind of then we stop at San Jacinto.

The community is really thought San Jacinto was a good fit. There was a lot of debate about Hemet, Hemet in, Hemet out. Many of our Latino community members, such as the Black Brown Alliance and Wearside Lou actors, we're really not in favor of Hemet areas in this area, and they wanted more Riverside.

So the only compromise I could come up with to also comply with VRA needs is I stopped and cut Riverside at the, I believe, it's the 91 freeway or the 215. I think it's really the kind of merge to the same freeway along Riverside and we -- Hemet, which actually has a large population. It's 89,000 people on its own.

We do split Hemet along Florida Avenue, which is a main railroad in Hemet. So we do that for -- trying to respect some of the community feedback we received, you know, along with total population needs. So this

District is fifty-one percent Latino CVAP and fourteen percent Black CVAP. And I know that's a figure that folks have made. Folks have been trying to argue.

But the point is, though, two districts in West
Riverside County should be majority CVAP. Almost done.
Finally just finishing up south. And it's just really
more of -- this is really more about maintaining existing
benchmark existing CVAP -- majority CVAP and influence
districts.

As you can see the south which is San Diego and Imperial County, we will have one when majority district in Imperial Coachella and one majority district in the San Diego area, along with an influence district in area — in the San Diego area.

In San Diego, are Assembly District 80, this is a district that has historically been electing Latino candidates of choice for decades. It's a hard decision, but here we did keep squaring the Chula Vista along the - where are we -- not the 5 Freeway. It'll come to me. I'm blanking, of course. It's no pressure, right? And Western City Heights, along with Imperial Beach.

So this District has historically been electing.

And we -- this is a district we are maintaining. We need to maintain a majority led district in this area, and it's already performing. So we try to maintain it. So

therefore, we are -- and you can see where we split in City Heights and also keeping Barrio Logan in.

This also makes way, though, for a very strong

Latino and coalition influence district to its east by

the remainder of Chula Vista, Bonita, most of the City

Heights, and then the Lemon Grove at Spring Valley

communities of interest.

So these two districts together allow you to maintain not only a influenced district, but a Latino majority district in San Diego. And then finally again, we maintain the Coachella Valley, Imperial Valley District, the Salton Sea District. It has been 50 and we maintained it.

The only thing I will add here is that we did hear various community feedback, the wanting to put part of Palm Springs or maintain part of Palm Springs in this District. So we did that with— we did do a small split of Palm Springs City. We've heard there's a lot of — a lot of growing organizing for communities of color in this area. So we did — here we were trying to respect that wish.

But we don't include the cities of Rancho Mirage,

Palm Desert, Indian wells, (indiscernible). We're lucky

that in this District, partly for -- basically for total

population needs and maintaining this District at fifty

1 percent CVAP.

2.0

And that, Commissioner's, is my break. I have three minutes left in this one, and then I know our next session starts. So I go to the, Chair, if you wish me to answer a handful of questions, or should we just pause and think, we'll go straight into converse with my colleagues?

CHAIR SADHWANI: Yeah, unfortunately, the Commission is not asking any questions of our presenters, but thank you so much for this excellent presentation. I can certainly say, and I announced this earlier, I know that there are materials being used in many of the presentations from submitters that we hadn't received previously.

So you are welcome to send PowerPoints and other items to the VotersFIRSTAct@CRC@CA.gov. This is certainly for you or for any of our presenters, and I believe some of our staff will be following up with presenters to request that.

MR. OCHOA: Thank you, Commissioners.

CHAIR SADHWANI: Sure. Otherwise we can take a couple minute break if you'd like. That would be helpful.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We do have an appointment scheduled for 12:01.

1 CHAIR SADHWANI: Is that -- is that --PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes. 3 CHAIR SADHWANI: I have no -- how are we doing on 4 time? 5 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yeah. Okay. It's 12 o'clock. 6 7 CHAIR SADHWANI: Perfect. So that was a break. 8 ahead and get started for the next one. 9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Wonderful. 10 CHAIR SADHWANI: Katy? 11 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We will get the time 12 clock reset, and I will bring in your colleagues. At 13 this time, we will have PMI 023(a). And it looks like 14 PMI 021(a) has joined us to join them. So PMI 023(a), I 15 will be promoting you now. And then PMI 021(a), I'll be 16 promoting you now. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So is this a different 18 submitter than -- so I'm going to --19 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And do you have -- you 20 have -- is that your new -- is that the new presentation? 21 MR. OCHOA: Yeah, I'm just going straight through. 22 I am tech support as well. 2.3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Oh, perfect. That's 24 fabulous. I know. We do the same thing here. All 25 right.

```
1
         So PMI 023(a), I believe that's who we have set to
 2
    present. Is that who's presenting it?
 3
        MR. OCHOA: They will be supporting.
 4
        PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: They're supporting.
 5
    are presenting. All right. Oh, fabulous.
        MR. OCHOA: I will forgive me. I would probably
 6
 7
    do -- it's probably better if I do the bulk of it, given
 8
    I'm controlling the screen.
 9
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: That's absolutely fine.
10
        MR. OCHOA: They are going to come in here. And
11
    they are -- as my team.
12
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Perfect. The floor is
13
    yours and they are here with you.
14
        MR. OCHOA: Great. Thank you.
                                         Thank you, guys.
15
    Commissioners, first, I want to take a moment quickly to
16
    welcome them, and allow them to introduce themselves.
17
    would like to welcome MALDEF western redistricting
18
    coordinators, Myra Valadez, and Kathy Ramirez.
19
        MS. VALADEZ: Hi, good afternoon, Commissioners.
20
    name is Mayra Valadez. And I am one of the MALDEF's
21
    Western Regional Redistricting Coordinators.
22
        MS. RAMIREZ: Hello, Commissioners. It is a
23
    pleasure to be here. My name is Kathy Ramirez. And I am
24
    the other Western Regional redistricting coordinator at
25
   MALDEF.
```

MR. OCHOA: I also want to acknowledge who cannot be here. Gabriel Lizardo, who is MALDEF's national redistricting program assistant, who helped us substantially develop these plans and put all these presentation materials together.

2.0

2.3

And so we thank you for this this continuance, starting with the next set of time, where we want to now focus on our Congressional plan. And so I think I shared some of this in our previous session.

But overall, the MALDEF Congressional plan had a total compliance with all the California Commission laws and ranked criteria, our plan has a deviation rate of six people. That's plus or minus three people.

Congressional plans have a very, very, very strict -- it has been interpreted to be a very, very strict deviation for these plans. So that makes actually these districts much more challenging to draw. If you want to avoid community or city splits. So our deviation range is zero percent -- plus or minus zero percent.

Regarding VRA compliance, this -- our -- MALDEF's

Congressional plan contained sixteen majority CVAP

districts compared to the current benchmark, which has

ten. And we also create three new Latino opportunity

districts where we believe Latinos will be able to elect

candidates of choice in California.



Our redistricting are contiguous with the exception 1 of a handful of islands. And we do again with our 3 assembly. We did our best to preserve as many 4 communities of interest in cities and counties possible. 5 Much of our size was taken from partnerships from our unity cable, CA table, and our local community groups. 6 7 And you'll probably see it if you were paying attention in the assembly, many of the same architecture 9 points. The Assembly will be here -- and will be here in 10 the Congress. So while not a directness, just addressing 11 that last ranking criteria for the commission. 12 These are sixteen Latino CVAP majority districts. 13 And we would kind of highlight it in new CVAP or 14 opportunity districts that will be emailing you this 15 presentation as soon as we are completed today. 16 And again, like the assembly plan, we did our best to match the numbers to current benchmark districts, and 18 that's mostly to make it easy for you and/or the public 19 to compare as that is always of interest to the new members of the public. And we also have six influence districts to present. 21 22 And like the same pattern, though, as far as the assembly 23 presentation and when we start regional focus red ones 24 are -- reds are majority CVAP districts, pink are

8

17

20

25

influence districts, and the blue districts are in --

districts I'm not going to be presenting on with their districts of interest from our various partners that we've talked to throughout our time.

2.3

And we did our best to try to include them and respect some of their goals as well. And to show that break plans can't be met at the same time as meeting other communities of interest in a statewide architecture. So that's it.

Now let's go into Congress and maybe I can take my time a little bit. Going same patterns because we're going north to south. And so central California, like the assembly, this is just an area where we're very -- we very much want the total population and specifically Latino citizen voting age population increases of California to be respective.

The Benchmark Area Plan in Central Coast and Valley has two majority districts plus six influences. So you can see a lot of split Latino communities just by inference. And the MALDEF plan creates four majority CVAP districts which will be opportunity districts. And this includes three new opportunity districts in this area.

So this is an area where we believe significant gains for Latino communities should be reflected in California's statewide plans. First, MALDEF's CD 9 is a

high influence seat based in Stockton, San Joaquin County
with a little bit of South Sacramento County. This
District is thirty-one percent district CVAP. It's an

2.3

influence.

And it's basically Stockton based with the Lodi regions. And then Tracy and Manteca, not Lathrup. And I'll talk about that in a second, but does not go all the way down to the San Joaquin border. And this is a influence district. Deviation of negative one person. So you can start seeing the nooks and crannies are really going to come out in a congressional plan.

District 10, this is one of our first new Latino opportunity and majority district in the Central Valley. This is a district that is basically centered around Merced -- Merced and kind of Modesto and with Madera cities with finishing up in western Fresno County for both population and voting rights compliance needs.

This District is a -- it is anchored by the 99 freeway, which goes up and down, of course, the entire Central Valley. And basically communities on the western part of this valley and have been Latino communities of color and of community interests should be kept together.

There are challenges in this area of drinking water, and so many other farmworker related interests. But this is a new opportunity. We work we -- additionally work

with partners like CNC and the Dolores Huerta Foundation about -- in these regions, many others and plus our own workshops. So we did our best to respect some of the COIs. But there are -- and also with the total population needs and specifically trying to get a very small margin of error on deviation, there are a lot more city splits, and that's going to happen at the congressional level, unfortunately.

2.3

So this District, again, is Ceres based -- in

Stanislaus series primarily Ceres based along with the

Riverbank communities, which folks like CNC told us they

wanted paired with Ceres and parts of Turlock. Most of

it was removed, too, as an area that -- it just does not

has not historically voted for Latino communities of

interest. So in limits some of the effectiveness.

Lathrup was included whole part for total population needs as well. But just generally following the 99 freeway and the 5 freeway just unites entire district towards its center. And then obviously it goes into West Fresno as well as includes Madera city neighborhoods and then many of the west Fresno rural farm communities, but not into Fresno, urban city, not Fresno part proper.

Because Fresno City proper is another new majority district. This District now is growing at fifty-three percent Latino CVAP where the other one is fifty. Fresno

1 City, similarly to its -- the assembly plan is -generally follows the split around a little bit -- it's a little bit more than the Golden Gate -- Golden state Road 3 this time, but generally kind of sticks along that 4 5 freeway. It uses -- it includes -- we again Sikhs take care 6 7 to include the Sunny Side farm Hmong community in this area. And it really -- it's a Fresno now towards the 8 north Tulare farming communities and it stops in Tulare 10 city, and parts Tulare city in particular to keep this 11 District above fifty and frankly, to maintain its 12 effectiveness. 13 And I said in the Assembly, there's an area that's roughly between -- what was it -- 134, and I'm already, 14 15 blanking on the freeways -- that basically between parts 16 Tulare and Visalia and Hanford in Kings County, and 17 Lemore that aren't effective for Latino vote. 18 And so for voting rights compliance, we do split 19 these cities to draw -- to keep this new you -- to have 20 this new majority district and maintain effectiveness. 21 And this is a 5-freeway anchored district -- I mean, a 22 99-freeway anchor district. 2.3 Another new district in this region is in the 24 Central Coast. This is Monterey, Inland -- mostly

Inland, Monterey, San Benito County, and then South Santa

Clara. This District is a new opportunity and a new majority district that we believe should be included in your congressional plan. It's at 50.16 percent LCVAP according to statewide database data.

2.0

And historically, as I talked about in the assembly, like Monterey, has been often split from the coast to its inland communities. And following that logic and those legal precedents, frankly, those effectiveness precedents, we do this -- we do something similar here.

So this time, we keep -- we remove the coastal communities of Monterey, the Monterey City, Carmel Valley. And we can -- we still pair them with Santa Cruz, which there are some -- that's not an unreasonable coastal community pairing.

But this allows us to create a majority district opportunity district with Inland, Monterey, South Santa Clara, which is so Gilroy, Morgan Hill, and San Martin. Those are strong committees of interest that often have relationships south towards Hollister.

I know they're all part of the same San Benito

Community College District, for example. We do need

to -- for total to complete a district bill that is at

fifty percent CVAP, we also do include the Alhambra

community in the San Jose area. We didn't do this

lightly, but we did this for voting rights compliance

needs and total population needs.

2.0

2.3

We did work with Asian American Advancing Justice on some of these boundaries. We have significant interest in this area. It is not to say the endorses this kind at all, but they did help me make a cut. And it's not that again, not an endorsement. But we did try to collaborate the best we could with other partners so that we achieve our goals. And they advocate for theirs. But MALDEF believes this District is compliant with the VRA and it should be drawn.

Finally, in South -- finishing up in Central Valley, in south, basically in Bakersfield -- Kern, Bakersfield, South Tulare based district. This is a new opportunity district. The benchmark is previously over fifty percent. But this is one of those districts where I was -- when I talked to at the beginning of my previous presentation, the difference between an effective district versus an opportunity -- a majority difference is an opportunity.

This District -- there was certain populations, particularly in the Tulare-Hanford area, Visalia area that do not support the of choice. We looked at election data and we talked with community groups and organizers in this area. And to help us develop reasonable split in those communities and to have to satisfy total population

needs to satisfy voting rights, compliance needs and to satisfy some of the many of the community interest needs in this area. It's a very nice, compact district.

2.3

It has a traditional Bakersfield hook, which has been litigated many times over, most recently by MALDEF in 2016 at the Board of Supervisors level. We worked very extensively with the Dolores Huerta Foundation on feedback and trying to which communities should have good communities of interest pairings. But we believe this is another district that should be drawn in this manner, and it would be effective in a new opportunity district for community.

And then finally, just on the Central Coast, we have just another influence districts. Our friends at CAUSE we're very interested in trying to keep Santa Barbara County whole. So this is in Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo, SLO County, together with some of Ventura stopping at in Ventura County District.

That is also an influence district, which I'll take about in a second. But this is just another influenced district that we -- our friends at CAUSE are interested in. We also believe it should be maintained.

Okay. So that's the Central Valley, central coast of California. Now, we're -- let's look at -- back to L.A. Like Assembly, L.A., all the districts are

underpopulated and there's a host of voting rights and communities of color concerns, wanting to make sure we make we maintain or have gains the Latino community, but not at the expense of another communities of color or underrepresented communities voice. And that was our goal. And we believe we found a puzzle.

2.0

L.A. County proper has about -- had five majority

Latino CVAP districts plus one influence district. It

was effective but it wasn't -- it was under fifty. And

we go to -- we make them six districts while maintaining

one in Orange County and doing our best to maintain

districts that have historically elected African
Americans in L.A. County.

Before I get to Delhi proper, I will start with the high desert in Palmdale, Lancaster area. We are able to create a very high efficiency in this area, matching the similar areas of our assembly district, which is fifty percent. Obviously, the congressional district is bigger.

So we had to include more population, as you can see, went from -- Assembly District went from fifty to forty-two, still a very, very high influence, perhaps an opportunity even. But it's east -- or Eastern Palmdale, Lancaster, along with most of the high desert communities, and it has finished up in Upland and Rancho

1 | Cucamonga for population needs.

2.3

And the other influence district surrounding the L.A. County area is this Ventura district. Again, like assembly, they have very strong interests in preserving the farming towns of Piru, Filmore, etcetera down with Oxnard, Port Hueneme, Santa Paula.

This is a district that was also given to us simply because we shared that shape that that gold in this influence district is thirty-six percent Latino CVAP.

But L.A. County, again, the biggest puzzle to unlock.

Drawing Latino majority districts, maintaining Asian-American influence, their growing influence, and maintaining black voices. I mean, that that was a important thing.

I'm going to turn it over to my colleague Mayra, who can introduce our next district in the San Fernando Valley.

MS. VALADEZ: Thanks, Steven. Yeah, I would love to describe MALDEF Congressional District 39. It is a district that is over fifty-eight percent Latino CVAP entirely in the county of Los Angeles and within the San Fernando Valley.

So it spans from the Northeast San Fernando Valley communities of Sylmar and the city of San Fernando down to North Hollywood, along the 170 freeway and east along

the Sherman Way corridor, past the 405 to include West San Fernando communities like Lake Balboa, Reseda, and parts of Winnetka, Canoga Park.

2.0

This District is largely working class and a renter in the East San Fernando Valley and has a lot in common culturally with the West San Fernando Valley and are connected by language and socioeconomic interests.

A lot of community members in in these communities use public transit and are reliant on it to get to and from work. And we took into consideration feedback from our workshops and folks who live in the San Fernando Valley alongside community partners in (indiscernible). So that is the 29th.

MR. OCHOA: Thank you, Mayra. Next district we -which is a new majority CVAP, although previously
opportunity C, is District 34, which is based in the
northeast community -- of Northeast L.A. communities.
Again, we did our best to use both neighborhood councils
and input from our friends at Asian American Advancing
Justice to draw these districts.

This District has a very cohesive communities of interest in the Northeast communities, but we do need to anchor this District with Boyle Heights and East L.A. to maintain its effectiveness.

This is an area that has been going through a lot of

1 gentrification, so it is ever-changing. But so making sure East L.A., which should be kept -- which should be 3 kept whole if we can together. So East L.A., Boyle 4 Heights, El Sereno, Lincoln Heights, they're a core 5 anchor to this community. Another core Latino community anchor is the Pico, 6 7 Union, Westlake, MacArthur Park community of interest. So and we did our -- and we are in this area we are able to maintain Koreatown here, along with the enclaves of 10 Chinatown and Little Tokyo. We cannot reach into East 11 Hollywood for a total population needs. And so yeah, and 12 parts of downtown. So that is this District which we 13 restored to a majority Latino CVAP district. 14 I'm going to turn it over to Kathy, I believe. 15 you ready to talk about this district or is it me? 16 right. I guess this one is me. She's going next. 17 So next district of interest is 37. So District 37 18 is actually a historic African-American district. District has -- still doesn't have a African-American 19 20 candidate of choice. It's a Latino influence district 21 for us, thirty-eight percent Latino CVAP. 22 We also try to maintain it at thirty --23 approximately thirty-five percent Black CVAP. We did our best to anchor it in the South L.A. communities of 24

interest, we use the neighborhood council boundaries,

1	stopping short of Pico-Union and Koreatown for total
2	population needs and also for total population needs to
3	keep communities of color percentages up to maintain
4	influences and opportunities, we did go and include the
5	Hollywood communities, which is much more multi-ethnic
6	than, say, the communities of say West Side or South
7	Roberts or Mid-City for this District.
8	And that's why we made that choice here. But it was
9	it allowed us to maintain the Latino vote and maintain
10	the African-American percentage at a thirty-five percent
11	Black CVAP, which we know is a goal for some partners.
12	And a similar situation exists in District 43.
13	Kathy?
13 14	Kathy? MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all
14	MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all
14 15	MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all this Congressional District 43, we have a Latino well,
14 15 16	MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all this Congressional District 43, we have a Latino well, first, I wanted to mention that CD 43 is the black
14 15 16 17	MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all this Congressional District 43, we have a Latino well, first, I wanted to mention that CD 43 is the black opportunity seat with a Black CVAP of 33.54 percent. And
14 15 16 17	MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all this Congressional District 43, we have a Latino well, first, I wanted to mention that CD 43 is the black opportunity seat with a Black CVAP of 33.54 percent. And it is also a Latino influenced seat with a Latino CVAP
14 15 16 17 18	MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all this Congressional District 43, we have a Latino well, first, I wanted to mention that CD 43 is the black opportunity seat with a Black CVAP of 33.54 percent. And it is also a Latino influenced seat with a Latino CVAP 43.42 percent.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all this Congressional District 43, we have a Latino well, first, I wanted to mention that CD 43 is the black opportunity seat with a Black CVAP of 33.54 percent. And it is also a Latino influenced seat with a Latino CVAP 43.42 percent. And I wanted to emphasize it's Latino influence
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. RAMIREZ: Yes. Thank you. And so as for all this Congressional District 43, we have a Latino well, first, I wanted to mention that CD 43 is the black opportunity seat with a Black CVAP of 33.54 percent. And it is also a Latino influenced seat with a Latino CVAP 43.42 percent. And I wanted to emphasize it's Latino influence district. And it is centered in the county of Los

with those following cities.

And I wanted to note that from community workshops conducted in partnership with NALEO and SBCC, we received feedback from Latino community members that the area has a Latino population concentration and consist of middle of low to middle income working class and members of the community have went ahead and are united by similar challenges, such as access to quality education and high cost of rent.

2.3

And it is a very close-knit community with several multicultural restaurants and community centers, home to a high concentration of both Black and Latino population alike that access similar grocery stores and leisure activities.

And then, Steven, can you click to the next district? Thank you.

So for MALDEF, Congressional District 44 has a

Latino CVAP of 53.43 percent and a Black CVAP of 15.5

percent. It is a South Bay district corridor of Long

Beach drawn for VRA compliance. And it includes San

Pedro and Wilmington with the west of Long Beach and

Carson as a whole given the public input we've been given in our workshops with NALEO.

And also I wanted to be respectful of AAAJ community of interest in Long Beach. And the District lines are drawn going around Compton and Watts, reflecting the

1	feedback we received from the Black Census and
2	Redistricting Hub and People's Bloc feedback. And I just
3	wanted to note that the cities in this District are
4	kept that are kept whole are very socioeconomically
5	similar.
6	And in the next slide, Steven, if you could do that.
7	MR. OCHOA: And this District also gets Catalina.
8	MS. RAMIREZ: Yeah, it goes ahead and has a yeah,
9	the in the presentation will show a detail slide but
10	for MALDEF Congressional District 40 is located in the
11	county of Los Angeles and has a Latino CVAP of 57.3
12	percent and a Black CVAP of 9.38 percent.
13	And it encompasses multiple vibrant cities such as
14	downtown L.A. and uniting the south-central community in
15	the north that is famously known for its in the north
16	of the District that is famously known for its small
17	businesses such as South L.A. Cafe.
18	Moreover, we also went ahead and made an effort to
19	creating a respectable boundary between itself and South,
20	those Los Angeles and Westmont community and recognizing
21	the socioeconomic differences.
22	And the southeast cities in the district that are
23	listed here have shared cultural ancestry and making it a
24	viable fit for most of the Southeast cities in this

District, where Downey serves as the essential anchor to

1 be in the same district.

And Florence-Graham is located northwest of the District and is split due to balancing for population purposes and the District does going to Signal Hill, however that is -- and South Long Beach but that is for population needs and to avoid packing and also preserving the Asian-American community of interest in Cerritos whole.

MR. OCHOA: Thank you, Kathy. We're almost done, Commissioners, almost. We've got five minutes. Next district I want to talk about was, again, in kind of the Whittier world. It's the Montebello, Pico, Whittier, southeast 605 corridor-based district.

Like the assembly, we also had feedback from Asian-Americans Advancing Justice about the communities of Hacienda Heights through Diamond Bar. And here we -- and also wanted to be included with these Latino communities as well.

So this is a 60 freeway -- a 605-freeway corridor district in a district that also split parts of North Chino Hills for total population needs. And at least that part of Chino Hills does have a community of interest with the Diamond Bar, Walnut community as well. So not totally unreasonable, but is where we have population split issues.

Then the last district of interest in L.A. County proper is in the West San Gabriel Valley -- I mean, East San Gabriel Valley. This was drawn, again, like the assembly, the center is Baldwin Park, West Covina, Azusa, Covina. They're the core and anchor of this District.

2.3

Again, this 10 and the 210 north-south and the 65 and the 57 are kind of main thoroughfares for this part of the San Gabriel Valley, includes El Monte and Industry going towards Valinda to also keep the figures up. So just really to be careful for making sure to watch for a district figures for effectiveness which is why we don't all go see all the way to Glendora as an, as an example it is split.

But this is, again, another district that is, I believe has been performing and it also is drawn in a way to respect the West San Gabriel Valley, which is an Asian-American district of interest.

And finally, in this region, Orange County. Again, want to highlight the we have a fifty percent Latino district. That is a -- that is an opportunity district in Orange County, again, anchored by Santa Ana, East Garden Grove, and Central Anaheim.

In this time just for city splits, we did our best to -- we did not split the City of Orange, but we did include Fullerton, south of Chapman Avenue and parts of



1 Placentia City and Stanton. This was also done in a way to not only draw our district of interest -- our voting rights interests of -- district of interest, but to 3 4 preserve a district that OCCET and Asian-American 5 Advancing Justice were trying to draw around it. So this is -- this was also done to preserve that high Asian 6 7 influence seat to this district's West. All right. Almost done. Inland Empire, same story. In the Metro Inland Empire -- western counties of San --10 Western Riverside, Western San Bernardino, previously 11 there were two majority districts and one influence. 12 now draw three majority districts in in this area. 13 This does not count -- it does not count the high 14 desert influence district, and that does not count a new 15 district, which we'll talk about shortly for the 16 Coachella Valley and Imperial. 17 But these three districts right here are all over 18 fifty percent, and we believe they would be -- they 19 reflect the total population and total Latino CVAP 20 population growth in the state and in this area, and they 21 would all be perform. 22 So again, District 35, it's a Pomona to Ontario 2.3 based district with Chino and Eastvale for some 24 population needs and maintaining the effectiveness.

only city splits here a little bit of Rancho and Oakland

1 for effectiveness and total population needs. But this is also done in a way to make way for this 3 District 31, which would be a new majority CVAP district. 4 This is now San Bernadino whole. We did our best to keep 5 again, the ebony triangle whole in North Fontana, in North Rialto, along with the Latino communities of 6 7 Bloomington, Colton, and then parts of Highland. And these other communities have some communities of interest and also total population needs to fill this 10 out. This District is now fifty-two percent Latino CVAP 11 and we believe is the new majority CVAP district that 12 would be affected now opportunity for. 13 And then finally, we wanted to maintain a fifty percent district in the Riverside -- metro Riverside 14 15 area. Like our assembly plans, this is an area that 16 we're --17 MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds remaining. 18 MR. OCHOA: -- considering, but we wanted to anchor 19 it with Jurupa Valley and Riverside, then anchor it with 20 Moreno Valley, and Perris in the immediate areas. 21

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

22

23

24

25

MR. OCHOA: Yeah. Finally, two new Latino majority districts in San Diego and Imperial Coachella Valley. Previously, San Diego's Imperial district was there. can -- San Diego had grown --

1 CHAIR SADHWANI: Looks like we ran out of time Thank you so much, Mr. Ochoa, for that marathon 3 presentation and to the members of your team. 4 Katy, we can continue on. 5 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, Chair. right now we will have PMI 024. I will be promoting you 6 7 now. PMI 024, you can now enable your audio and video in the lower left corner of your screen. And I see we've 8 got PMI 024(b); I will be promoting you now. And we now 10 have PMI -- PMI 024(b), I will try one more time, but 11 there may be a connectivity issue for you. We can try 12 the audio only option. 13 PMI 024(a), it appears that PMI 024(b) is having some connectivity issues with promotion. Would you like 14 15 me to try the audio only option? 024. Yes. 16 MR. YODER: If you could. Yes. Thank you. 17 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Absolutely. 18 PMI 024(b), you should see a prompt to unmute in the 19 center of your screen at this time. If you will please 20 select that, it will bring you into the meeting with the 21 audio only option. 22 MR. MANOFF: So PMI 024 --2.3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: B. MR. MANOFF: B, you do have the ability to unmute. 24 25 24(a), are you ready to start your presentation?

1 MR. YODER: I am. MR. MANOFF: All right. Your time will start now, 3 please. 4 Okay. Thank you. Good morning, MR. YODER: 5 Commissioners, members of the public. I am Paul Yoder, of Yoder, Antwih, Schmelzer and Lange. I am one of the 6 7 state advocates for San Joaquin County. First off, please accept my personal and 8 9 professional gratitude for the vital service you are all 10 performing on behalf of your fellow Californians. 11 Joaquin County is currently represented by two 12 Congressional districts, three Assembly districts and one 13 state Senate district. 14 During the beginning of the redistricting process, 15 San Joaquin County conducted significant outreach and 16 education to its residents and community partners to 17 determine how they would like their districts drawn. The 18 county conducted surveys online and by phone and public outreach to see how residents wanted their districts 19 2.0 drawn. 21 They heard from community leaders who overwhelmingly 22 want the county to be represented by those who live 23 within the county for better representation and because

In short, San Joaquin County residents have found

of shared values and interests.

24

that the ultimate community of interest is the county itself. As is currently the case in the overwhelmingly supported State Senate District, which is nearly contiguous with county boundaries.

2.3

So for the congressional districts, the predominant message from community groups and the public was having one congressional representative to represent San Joaquin County, as is currently the case with the existing State Senate District. Currently, San Joaquin County is represented by two congressional representatives, neither of whom resides in the county.

The county is submitting a new congressional district map made up of San Joaquin County, excluding a portion of the Mountain House area in order to meet the population thresholds. This new district will ensure that the interests of San Joaquin County residents are represented without being overshadowed by the interest of other counties.

While the county did not want to exclude any area in the map due to population requirements, this map comes close to meeting the population threshold of 766,066. It keeps a portion of Mountain House, including Delta College within San Joaquin County.

And if you'll pardon me for just one second, I will scroll down just to make sure everyone can see the

proposed congressional district. And I know you have that as well. Having done that, I will move in -- move on to the assembly.

2.3

Outreach and survey results showed San Joaquin

County residents want to be represented by two Assembly districts and have most of these district population in San Joaquin County. Online survey results show that county residents want their assembly members to live within San Joaquin County.

In the phone survey and in discussions during public outreach, it was noted that if the county must have another county represented in these districts due to population adjustments from a community of interest perspective, it should be Stanislaus County due to similar interests and values regarding agriculture.

San Joaquin County is submitting two Assembly
District maps, one on the western side and one on the
eastern side of the county. The Western District map
represents the urban areas of the county and would be
north, south in configuration, excluding Lodi and the
rural northern area.

The Eastern District map would represent the rest of the county to keep the rural communities of interest together, including portions of Stanislaus County. These two new districts address comments the county received

requesting two assembly districts in the county, with Lodi being included in the rural portion of a district rather than in a district with Sacramento County.

2.3

Having the Eastern district would also ensure that agricultural interests are kept together. I'll move on to the state Senate district. There is currently one Senate district representing San Joaquin County, Senate District 5. This District represents all of Sandwich King County and a small portion of Stanislaus County.

Overwhelmingly, in the online survey, residents did not want any changes --

12 MR. WYNNE: I got two different screens. I Paul.

MR. YODER: -- did not want changes to this
District, since it represents primarily and predominantly
San Joaquin County residents.

The new county proposed map would represent all of San Joaquin County and a portion of Galt and a small portion of Stanislaus County due to population requirements. However, this map is not exact and the commission would have to adjust it to meet the population requirements.

San Joaquin County requests that the proposed Senate district continue to be primarily comprised of all of San Joaquin County. Thank you again for your service on this commission and your time today. I will now hand it over

1 to San Joaquin County Supervisor Chuck Wynne. MR. WYNNE: Good afternoon. Can you hear me? 3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, we can. CHAIR SADHWANI: 4 Yes. 5 MR. WYNNE: Sorry for the technical challenge. First of all, let me thank the Commission for their hard 6 7 work in regards to these redistricting efforts. Let me 8 go through what we've done in San Joaquin County in addition to what Paul's already related. 10 On July 12th, San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors created a redistricting advisory group to 11 12 help provide education and outreach to the public on the 13 state redistricting process. 14 Email invitations were sent to over 300 individuals 15 and organizations in San Joaquin County, and the advisory 16 group was comprised of 20 community group organizations 17 throughout the county, ranging from El Consiglio, the 18 NAACP, the Business Council, San Joaquin County Office of 19 Education, San Joaquin County Farm Bureau, Chambers of 20 Commerce, and many other influential community organizations and individuals. 21 22 This effectively covered the broad diversity and 2.3 demographics of the county. It was emphasized from the 24 beginning the goal was to establish the best possible

configuration based upon communities of interest to

represent our county's residents at all levels of government.

2.3

It was not about political parties, current elected officials, or future candidates for office. These are simply an effort to improve upon our current alignments to reach our goal. The county conducted an online survey from August 9th through the 15th for the San Joaquin County residents. The response from the community was strong.

Our consultants were hoping for a minimum of 1,200 successful responses and we had 4,000 -- and they expected the 4,000 would be the gold standard in regards to numbers. By the second day of the survey. We already surpassed 4,000. By the end of the week, we had over 9,000 responses and received 7,500 completed surveys.

The county also conducted a phone survey of 300 San Joaquin County residents to align with the county's demographics. The survey was separate September 2nd through the 23rd. We also conducted three outreach meetings for the public around the county to increase public awareness redistricting process and provide several information on group meetings as requested.

The county also created a website with information on the state redistricting process, which included a toolkit and the information on how to get involved,



1 information from our surveys, and social media posts.

2 Our findings were that the majority were in favor of

3 keeping the districts exclusively within San Joaquin

4 | County connected with various communities of interest.

The online survey showed the top issue throughout the county was water. Water issues vary from drought in the Delta to water quality and availability. Preserving agriculture was predominantly the community of interest reinforced during the public outreach meetings and in public comments to the commission.

For example, at the public outreach meeting in Lodi,
It was noted that agriculture was \$7 billion industry and
therefore it was imperative to keep the agricultural
interest together when drawing the new lines.

First, I want to recognize, including my co-chair, Supervisor Robert Rickman, County Staff, Advisory Group members and residents of San Joaquin County who did a phenomenal job in this redistricting process, which hopefully will assist you in your mission and serve as a model ten years from now.

Without their efforts, this presentation would not have been possible. After review of all public input and presentation, the Board of Supervisors of San Joaquin County provides the following recommendations to the Commission regarding our county's district maps one

Congressional district represented primarily by San Joaquin County due to the increased population.

2.3

Two Assembly districts, one on the western side and one on the eastern side of the county. The Western district map represents the urban areas of the county and would be a north-south configuration, excluding Lodi and the rural northern area. The Eastern District map would represent the rest of the county to keep the rural areas of interest together, but also include portions of Stanislaus County. Both districts share mutual communities of interest based upon our surveys and public input.

Once again, the District Senate District five close to the current configuration is possible, which is primarily comprised of San Joaquin County. San Joaquin County as a multifaceted and diverse county. We face the same challenges other counties and work collaboratively to address those issues.

We partner with other Delta counties on water, the Central Valley counties on water, agricultural, air quality and transportation, in the mountain counties on watershed issues in forest management. We have traveled from Yuba County to San Diego to share ideas and lend support to their regional projects.

Within San Joaquin County, we grow our own. In



health care, we establish, of course, partners with our seven hospitals to train over 200 doctors and through our universities a similar number of nurses and other health care professionals.

2.3

In education, we are the first county to be certified in the State of California, a high school and adult apprenticeship programs. I could go on with transportation, homelessness, Clean San Joaquin, and a multitude of other programs. But obviously you see the picture that we work closely with each other in regards to achieving our goals.

We have shown through diversity, we can unite on critical issues to the betterment of all residents through our various communities of interest. Therefore, we need representatives to know our county's priorities, communities of interest and its residents. And that's all we're asking for.

I want to thank the Commission for certainly your hard work and allowing us to make this presentation. And Paul and I -- I know you're not asking questions that were available if you have any comments. And with that, we conclude our presentation. Thank you very much.

CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you so much. We appreciate you taking the time. And with that, I believe this is the end of the presentations for this session block. We

1	will go on a thirty-minute break a little bit longer than
2	thirty minutes at this point since we finished up a
3	little early here. And we will be back at 1:15. Thank
4	you so much.
5	(Whereupon, a recess was held)
6	CHAIR SADHWANI: Welcome back to the California
7	Citizens Redistricting Commission as we continue to
8	receive public input on map ideas. I know we have a full
9	session this afternoon. So Katy, I'll turn it over to
10	you.
11	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, Chair.
12	Welcome to the public input session. When it is your
13	turn to speak, you will be identified by your assigned
14	unique ID number.
15	You will be reconnected to this session with the
16	ability to enable your own video and/or audio and to
17	enable screen sharing. Please have your maps handy prior
18	to your appointment time in order to enable screen
19	sharing.
20	The Commission will be important in forcing
21	appointment time limits with a warning at one minute and
22	a warning at 30 seconds remaining at the end of your
23	public input or at the end of your time, you will be



And right now we will have PMI 025(a), along with B,

reconnected in a listening view only mode.

24

- C, and D. PMI 025(a), I will be promoting you now along
 with everyone else. We have one more. Hold on. All
 right. We've got the whole group promoted. If you could
 please share your maps prior to beginning your narrative,
 this will begin your time. We are seeing your
 presentation and the floor is yours.

 MR. WOODSON: Well, good afternoon, Commissioners.
 - It's good to see you all again. Thank you so much for your time and the opportunity to come before you today. We know you've heard a lot of information over the last few days and months, and we appreciate your commitment to providing public input opportunities to us and the rest of the public.

2.0

My name is James Woodson. I'm the redistricting lead for the Black Census and Redistricting Hub. I'm joined by my colleagues Natasha Brown, Kevin Cosney, and Kristin Nimmers who all worked with our mapping team and our expansive coalition to develop the maps that we'll be discussing today.

And before we get started, I want to say a quick thank you to the Equity Research Institute at USC and Professor Tom Wong from UC San Diego and his team for their work on our maps and mission as well. It's important to note that the Black Hub will be one of less than a handful of speakers presenting full statewide

proposals for Assembly, Senate, and Congressional districts to you this week.

We did this because we know that you all have multiple ranked criteria to consider and apply and multiple interests to balance as you create maps. We developed our maps through a similar approach and considered the same criteria that the Commission is.

Specifically, we decided to draw and submit an entire comprehensive statewide proposal for Assembly, Senate, and Congressional districts to ensure that we balanced the multiple criteria and the diverse interests that we heard and that the Commission has heard throughout this process.

On page 5 through 9 of our written submission, we talk about our mapping approach and principles and how we address the right criteria, but I'll quickly review them now.

Particularly, we took the following seven steps In order of priority number 1, we ensure that all districts have equal population within acceptable deviations.

Specifically, we kept deviations within plus or minus two percent for assembly, zero percent for congressional and

Number two, we prioritize VRA considerations of AAPI, Black, Latinx, Indigenous, and Native American

plus or minus two percent for Senate districts.

communities throughout the state on all three levels. In
particular, we created a total of twenty-three Latinx VRA
districts at the Assembly level, twelve Latinx districts
at the Senate level, and fourteen Latinx VRA districts at
the Congressional level.

We also created three Asian VRA districts at the Assembly level. We created zero black or Native American VRA districts due to insufficient population, community preference, and/or strong evidence of crossover voting.

Number three, we ensured that all districts were contiguous.

Number four, we respected communities of interest as much as possible, particularly those of traditionally underrepresented communities.

Number five, we worked to minimize split of cities, counties, and neighborhoods as much as possible, given the other higher ranked criteria.

Number six, the Black Hub also worked to ensure districts were as compact as possible, again given the other ranked -- higher ranked criteria.

And then lastly, the Black Hubs State Senate plan contains several districts that were constructed by nesting hole or partial assembly districts, except where compliance with higher ranked criteria, particularly the VRA, would have been compromised.

So based on all of this, we believe that the districts we created strike the proper balance of those ranked criteria, and I hope this presentation helps you do the same thing.

2.3

And now I want to pass it to my colleague Kevin, who will talk to you a little bit about how we engaged our coalition and community members in our mapping process.

MR. COSNEY: Hello and good afternoon. Thank you,

James. And thank you, Commissioners, for your time and
hard work in this process. Again, my name is Kevin

Cosney. I've had the pleasure of helping build and
support our Black Census and Redistricting Hub coalition,
along with our organizing coordinators, who you will hear
from momentarily.

Initially, we came together in 2019 really understanding the need for black communities to have the support and resources needed to navigate and meaningfully participate in the census and redistricting process.

We've worked really hard over the last three years to build and activate a statewide coalition that spans eleven counties and includes over thirty black led and serving organizations who are deeply rooted in the black community.

These organizations not only serve vulnerable communities, but often led by those who have been



directly impacted by social disparities and political decisions. Our coalition has presence in Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Riverside, and San Diego counties. And of course, you can find more information about our coalition on page four of our submission.

2.3

We spent the first half of this year preparing and supporting our coalition to engage community members and community of interest input sessions. After five months of community engagement, we resulted in over fifty input sessions with over 400 community members across the state.

Again, for more details on our COI input session, please see our visual and narrative summary of series in our Appendix C of our submission. We built off of our community input and launched into two months of map drawing that paired the skill of our technical partners with the local knowledge and expertise of statewide -- of our statewide grassroots coalition and community members that they represent, serve, and engage.

We also monitored the hearings and engaged organizations who also represent other key marginalized communities to ensure that we respected other COIs in our maps as much as possible. But most importantly, we conducted weekly regional meetings to review, inform, and

improve the District maps that we have submitted to you all with our coalition partners and community members.

2.3

The Assembly, Senate, and Congressional maps that our team will now present is a culmination of the community and coalition input that we've received throughout this year.

And while we have drafted and submitted complete statewide maps for State Assembly, Senate and Congress and have also included visual and narrative summaries of our COIs, we will not be able to cover all of our COIs in detail or present all of the districts in each of our statewide plans.

We will focus today's presentation on key priority districts in our Assembly, Senate, and Congressional plans. Again, our plans can be reviewed in their entirety in the materials that we submitted. Again, for time purposes, we are focusing our presentation.

On now again, and all of this information on our community and coalition process can also be seen on page 5 of our submission. I'll now pass it to my colleague, Kristin Nimmers, to start to start us off with our assembly plan.

MS. NIMMERS: Thank you, Commissioners, for your time. Again, my name is Kristen Nimmers. I'm one of the organizing coordinators specifically working with our

coalition members in Northern and Central California.

2.3

Our simple priorities for Northern and Central California are centered around COIs identified by our coalition members in the Bay, Sacramento, and Central Valley.

So starting with priorities in the Bay, community members wanted to keep East-West Oakland together and with Emeryville, keep Richmond whole with other communities along the Bay in West Contra Costa, and to keep Bay Point, Pittsburgh, and Antioch together and in a district that connects with Vallejo.

Additionally, Oakland did not want to be paired with Piedmont and the Richmond and Contra Costa districts did not want to be paired with central Contra Costa areas, including Concord, Orinda, and Moraga.

We wanted to create one strong Black CVAP district anchored in Oakland with twenty-five to thirty percent black CVAP and two strong legacy back districts with fourteen to seventeen percent Black CVAP in West Contra Costa, as well as the East Contra Costa-Vallejo District. We also complied with the VRA by drawing majority Asian CVAP districts where possible.

To start, we'll be covering three districts in the Bay beginning with AD-14. AD-14 keeps COIs in Bay point, Pittsburg, Antioch, and Brentwood together and whole and



in a district that connects with Vallejo.

2.3

These communities are predominantly low-income minority communities that face similar issues, are often underserved, and differ from some of the more affluent surrounding communities of Central Contra Costa.

In AD-15, we keep Richmond whole and paired with similar communities along the Bay in West Contra Costa, including the Rodeo and Hercules areas, which also faced similar issues and are demographically similar.

In AD-18, we keep East and West Oakland together in a district that includes Emeryville, a community directly north of Oakland that shares similar interests. And additionally, there are also AAPI COIs throughout Oakland that are kept together in this District, including Chinatown, Fruitvale, Highland Terrace, and the Korean business district in North Oakland.

Moving on to Sacramento and the Central Valley, our priorities were to keep black communities in North Sacramento together and with similar communities in the county, similarly to keep black communities in South Sacramento together and with similar communities in the county.

To keep Stockton as whole as possible and not paired with Lodi, keeping hubs and COIs in Fresno County whole, together in the District with similar communities and not

1 with Clovis. To keep hub COIs in Kings, Tulare, and Kern whole, together and in a district with similar communities and to comply with the VRA by drawing 3 4 majority Latinx districts where possible while also 5 protecting key COIs. We have six key districts in Central Valley and 6 7 Sacramento. Beginning with AD-7. We keep Black and AAPI COIs in West Sacramento, Natomas, North Highlands, 8 9 Foothill, Fruitridge, Oak Park and Del Paso Heights 10 together. AD-9, keeps Black and AAPI COIs in Southwest 11 12 Sacramento, Lauren, Elk Grove, and Butte together with as 13 much as Lemon Hill as possible. 14 In AD-12, we keep low income Black and Latinx 15 communities in South and Southeast Stockton together and 16 paired with surrounding communities of similar interest. 17 We did do a small cut in Tracy to keep AAPI COIs whole 18 and together here, in addition to keeping those Stockton 19 COIs together. 2.0 And then these community members also did not want

And then these community members also did not want to be in a district with Lodi, which is a predominantly affluent white community that does not share their same interests.

In AD-31, we created an urban core district in
Fresno that connects hubs otherwise in predominantly

21

22

2.3

- urban and inner-city areas, which face different issues
 than many of the surrounding rural and farmworker
 communities. It's also drawn with majority Latinx CVAP
 and keeps historic and emerging black communities in
 southwest Fresno, West Park, Old Fig Garden, and
- 6 Sunnyside together, and in a district that connects with 7 Selma.
- It also protects AAPI communities in West Fresno,

 Singer, and Sunnyside, and at the request of those

 community members, does not pair any of these areas with

 Clovis.

- AD-32, keeps urban communities in Lemoore, Hanford, Tulare, Pixley, and Terra Bella together. Keeping these communities together ensures that the interests of ethnic and inter-city communities in the area are protected as they are distinct from agricultural interests in the surrounding communities.
- Lastly, AD-34 keeps Black COIs in southeast
 Bakersfield, Benton, and Cottonwood together and with
 similar communities, including AAPI and Latinx COIs in
 East Bakersfield, Southeast Bakersfield, and portions of
 Southwest Bakersfield. This District is also drawn with
 majority Latinx CVAP.
- And now I'll pass it to Natasha to go over Southern
 California.



1	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Kristin. My name is Natasha
2	Brown. I am one of the organizing coordinators,
3	specifically working with our coalition members in
4	Southern California.
5	Starting with the Inland Empire, the Assembly
6	priorities were to keep Adelanto and Victorville whole
7	and with other high desert communities, keep San
8	Bernardino Hall and paired with Rialto, keep Fontana,
9	Rancho Cucamonga, and Ontario as together and as whole as
10	possible. Keep Moreno Valley as whole as possible and
11	paired with San Jacinto and Hemet.
12	Keep whole other COIs in emerging black communities
13	such as Corona, Banning, Beaumont, Desert, Hot Springs,
14	and Palm Springs and pairing them with similar
15	communities. And lastly, comply with the VRA by drawing
16	majority Latinx CVAP districts where possible while also
17	protecting key COIs.
18	There are five key districts in the Inland Empire we
19	would like to highlight from our plan. The First
20	District AD-33 is a majority Latinx CVAP with a Black
21	CVAP of seventeen percent.
22	This District joins COIs in both the Antelope Valley
23	and the high desert communities of Palmdale, Lancaster,
24	Adelanto, and Victorville. There were splits of the COIs

due to the early considerations, but we drew this

District in consultation with community members to ensure COI respectful splits.

2.3

The second District AD-40, includes Rancho Cucamonga whole, as well as Fontana and Ontario with some city splits to address VRA considerations. This District is drawn with majority Latinx CVAP.

The third District, AD-42, is drawn with communities of interest in Desert Hot Springs and Palm Springs.

These COI were grouped with Banning and Beaumont as they

were identified as growing and emerging black communities.

AD-47 has a Black CVAP of sixteen percent. This District keeps San Bernardino whole with Rialto, along with parts of Fontana, grouped together due to shared concerns around infrastructure, crime rates, food accessibility, and housing these cities share. Careful consideration was taken to protect the black communities of Fontana. This District is also drawn with a majority Latinx CVAP.

And finally, AD-61 is a majority Latinx CVAP district that pairs Moreno Valley and Paris with the emerging black communities of Hemet and San Jacinto.

These communities share similar interests around housing and employment.

Now moving on to Los Angeles, the assembly



priorities here focus on distributing the black vote as opposed to packing, as well as keeping your COIs whole and together. Our goals were to distribute the black CVAP between four South Los Angeles South Bay districts at about twenty-eight to thirty-two percent each.

Keep hub COIs in South Los Angeles whole with similar communities and out of districts anchored by coastal communities. To keep additional hub COIs in Long Beach, Altadena, and North Hollywood as whole as possible and with similar communities. And we also have a priority in Antelope Valley, which I mentioned previously in IE section.

And lastly, the last priority to comply with the VRA by drawing majority Latinx CVAP districts where possible, while also protecting key COIs.

The five key districts to highlight here in Los Angeles begin with AD-54. It has a Black CVAP of 33.11 percent and keeps COIs of Leimert Park, Baldwin Hills, and West Adams together as important historical centers of the black community and not in district with coastal communities.

Secondly, AD-59 is a majority Latinx CVAP district and has a Black CVAP of 29.98 percent. We aimed to keep neighborhoods in this District whole, though there are some splits for population and VRA considerations.

AD-62 connects the South Bay and South L.A. communities of Inglewood, Gardena, and Hawthorne. And includes Harbor, Gateway, West Carson, and Carson. The split here of Gardena was reviewed and approved by members of the AAPI community.

The fourth District AD-63 is a majority Latinx CVAP district and has a Black CVAP of 15.39 percent. Long Beach contains a Black COI which is east of the 710, south of PCH, and north of seventh Street, as well as the AAPI COI of Cambodia Town.

Lastly, AD-64 is a majority Latinx CVAP district with a Black CVAP of 30.38. This District keeps the communities of Watts and Compton together due to similar concerns around gentrification and affordable housing.

Moving along to San Diego, the assembly priorities here focus on protecting COIs by keeping them whole and together where possible. We aim to keep City Heights and Southeast San Diego COIs whole and together.

Keep Lemon Grove, Spring Valley, La Mesa and El Cajon COIs with City Heights Southeast or other similar communities. Keep COIs out of districts with coastal downtown or East San Diego communities due to lack of shared interests. And lastly, comply with the VRA by drawing the majority Latinx CVAP districts where possible while protecting key COIs.

1	The key districts in San Diego we'd like to
2	highlight are AD-77, which contains COIs of El Cajon and
3	La mesa. La mesa is split between AD-77 and 79 to
4	balance population.
5	These COIs are paired with AAPI COIs in the Convoy
6	District, Linda Vista, Kearny Mesa, Claremont Mesa, and
7	Mira mesa to keep immigrant communities together and not
8	with coastal downtown or east San Diego County areas.
9	The second District to highlight AD-79 has a Black
10	CVAP of 14.73 percent. This District keeps the COIs of
11	City Heights and then excuse me, City Heights, and
12	Southeast and Diego whole and together while pairing them
13	with Lemon Grove and Spring Valley growing black
14	communities. We unify these communities of interest
15	together while keeping nearby Assembly District 80 a
16	majority Latinx CVAP.
17	That concludes the Assembly portion. I'll give it
18	back to Kristiane for Senate.
19	MS. NIMMERS: Throughout the state, our Senate
20	district priorities were to protect and pair COIs in a
21	manner similar to our state assembly plan and then where
22	possible, we nest assembly districts to create Senate
23	districts and comply with the VRA by drawing majority

We also note that in some cases it wasn't feasible

Latinx CVAP districts while also protecting key COIs.

24

- 1 to nest assembly districts while also complying with the
- 2 VRA. To begin the debate, we have two Senate districts
- 3 here, beginning with SD-5. We nest AD-14 and AD-11
- 4 joining and keeping whole similar communities in Vallejo,
- 5 Antioch, Bay Point, Pittsburg, Brentwood, Fairfield, and
- 6 Sassoon.
- 7 In SD-8, we nest AD-18 and AD-15 joining and keeping
- 8 whole similar communities of interest in Oakland,
- 9 Emeryville, Berkeley, Richmond, Hercules, and Rodeo.
- 10 Moving on to the Central Valley, we have four key
- 11 | Senate districts here. Beginning with SD-6, we nest AD-9
- 12 | and AD-7, joining and keeping whole similar communities
- 13 of interest throughout Sacramento County, including South
- 14 | Sacramento City, West Sacramento City, Floren, Elk Grove,
- 15 Lemon Hill, Oak Park, El Paso Heights, Natomas, North
- 16 Highlands, Foothill, and Fruitridge.
- 17 In SD-7, we nest AD-12 and AD-8, keeping Black and
- 18 Latinx communities in Stockton whole.
- In SD-14, we nest AD-31 and AD-26 keeping Black,
- 20 Latinx, AAPI COIs in Fresno, Sunnyside, Selma, and Sanger
- 21 | whole, keeping Merced whole, as well as additional Latinx
- 22 farmworker communities in Orange Cove, Parlier, Reedley,
- 23 and Del Rey. This District is also drawn majority Latinx
- 24 CVAP.
- In SD-17, we nest AD-32 and AD-34, keeping Black and

1 Latinx COIs in Bakersfield, Tulare, Lemoore, Hanford, Pixley, and Terra Bella whole. This District is also 3 drawn with a majority Latinx CVAP. I'm passing it on to Natasha to continue with 4 5 Southern California. MS. BROWN: Thank you. So-Cal, our Southern 6 7 California Senate priorities, are the same as Kristin described. We aim to protect COIs, nest assembly 8 districts where possible, and comply with the VRA. 10 In the Inland Empire, we'll be highlighting four key 11 SD-18 brings together similar emerging desert 12 communities of interest in Desert Hot Springs, Palm 13 Springs, Banning, and Beaumont. 14 SD-19 nests AD-42 and AD-36, joining similar 15 communities of interest in Antelope Valley with 16 California City. 17 SD-23 is a majority Latinx CVAP district. 18 Senate district mostly nests AD-40 and 47, joining 19 similar communities of interest in San Bernardino, 20 Rialto, Fontana, Ontario, and Rancho Cucamonga. Small 21 deviations from nesting occurred to comply with VRA 22 considerations in neighboring districts. 2.3 Lastly, SD-30 is a majority Latinx CVAP district. 24 The Senate District nests AD-60 and 61, joining similar

communities of interest in Moreno Valley, Hemet, Perris,

San Jacinto, Riverside, Eastvale, and Corona. Due to VRA considerations, not all of these are -- COI were kept whole.

2.3

- Moving on to Los Angeles, there are three key districts we'll highlight from our plan. SD-29 has a Black CVAP of 31.41 percent. It nests AD-59 and 54, joining similar communities of interest in downtown Los Angeles, Skid Row, Florence-Graham, Leimert Park, Baldwin Hills, and West Adams.
 - SD-31 is a majority Latinx CVAP district, which includes the Black hub COI and Long Beach. The city of Long Beach is kept mostly whole in the Senate district. However, there were some small splits for population and due to VRA considerations.

For example, there is a small split in North Long Beach, but this community is paired with similar communities and hub COI in an adjacent district.

Lastly, SD-33 is a majority Latinx CVAP district with a Black CVAP of 31.05 percent. It mostly nests AD-62 and 64, joining similar communities of interest in Compton, Watts, Inglewood, Hawthorne, and Carson. Small deviations from nesting occurred here to comply with VRA considerations.

Lastly, here in San Diego, the key district we'll highlight is SD-39. SD-39 nests AD-77 and 79, joining

- similar communities of interest in Southeast San Diego,

 City Heights, Lemon Grove, Spring Valley, El Cajon, and

 La Mesa. This District was created to ensure these guys

 were kept whole and not in districts with coastal
- Grouping these communities of interest allows for in majority Latinx CVAP district to be drawn in the

8 neighboring district to the south. That concludes the 9 Senate portion.

downtown or east San Diego County communities.

2.3

I'll pass it back to Kristin for Congress.

MS. NIMMERS: Okay. Getting into our Congressional maps. Beginning with the Bay, our priorities were to maintain a strong Black CVAP of eighteen to twenty-two percent in a congressional district anchored in Oakland that also brings in Emeryville and Berkeley.

We also wanted to bring together black communities in Richmond, Vallejo, and East Contra Costa that are currently split across multiple districts in the Bay and ensure that they have a strong political voice in a single district with a Black CVAP at sixteen to twenty percent. Again, we also complied with the VRA by drawing majority Latinx CVAP districts where possible.

There are two key congressional districts in the Bay, beginning with SD-10. We bring together and keep whole black communities in Richmond, Vallejo, and East

1 Contra Costa, including Bay Point, Pittsburg, Antioch,
2 and Brentwood.

These communities were previously split between three different districts, and this configuration pairs interconnected communities in the bay and East Contra Costa, while also meeting community interests and not being paired with central Contra Costa areas like Concord, Orinda, and Moraga.

In CD-12, we keep Black, Latinx, and AAPI communities in Oakland, Emeryville, and Berkeley whole and together.

In the Central Valley, our priorities were to keep Black communities similar to our assembly plan in North and South Sacramento whole, together and with similar communities in the county where possible.

We also wanted to keep Stockton whole and with similar communities, to keep hubs in Fresno whole and together and in a district with similar communities and not with Clovis, to keep COIs in Kings, Tulare, and Kern Counties whole and together in a district with similar communities and to comply with the VRA by drawing majority Latinx CVAP districts where possible, while also protecting key COIs.

There are four congressional -- key congressional districts in the Central Valley, beginning with CD-6. In

- CD-6, we created a Sacramento centered district that
 keeps Black and AAPI COIs and West Sacramento City, South
 Sacramento City, Natomas, Fruitridge, Lemon Hill, Floren,
 Oak Park, El Paso Heights, North Highland, and Foothill
 together.

 There also are additional black COIs in Elk Grove
 - There also are additional black COIs in Elk Grove and Vineyard that are not included in this configuration due to population, but are placed in CD-5 by a primarily Sacramento district consisting of other suburban areas that have seen population growth.

In CD-9, we keep Stockton whole, as in other districts, and also keep AAPI COIs in Lodi and Manteca whole. Although Stockton didn't want to be paired with Lodi in assembly districts, they are paired here due to AAPI COIs and population considerations.

In CD-20, we keep Black, Latinx, and AAPI COIs in Fresno, Sunnyside, Selma, and Sanger whole and together as well as additional Latinx farmworker COIs in Orange Cove, Parlier, Reedley, and Del Rey together. This District is also drawn with a majority Latinx CVAP.

Finally, in SD-22, similar to assembly, we keep Black, Latinx, and AAPI communities in Bakersfield, including Southeast Bakersfield, East Bakersfield, (indiscernible) and Cottonwood. We also keep Tulare, Lemoore, Hanford, Pixley, and Terra Bella whole. This

1 District is also drawn with a majority Latinx CVAP. And finally, it passes back to Natasha for Southern California. 3 Thank you. The Congressional priorities 4 MS. BROWN: 5 of the Inland Empire are similar to the aforementioned 6 assembly priorities. Here we aimed to keep Adelanto and 7 Victorville whole and with other high desert communities. Keep San Bernardino whole and paired with Rialto. Keep 8 9 Fontana, Rancho Cucamonga, and Ontario as together and as 10 whole as possible. 11 Keep Moreno Valley whole and with as much of Corona 12 as possible. Keep COIs and the emerging black 13 communities of San Jacinto and Hemet together, and either 14 with Moreno Valley or any district with other hub COIs 15 and similar communities. 16 Keep COIs in the emerging black communities spanning 17 Beaumont, Desert Hot Springs, and Palm Springs, all 18 together and with other similar communities. 19 comply with the VRA by drawing majority Latinx CVAP 20 districts where possible also while protecting our COIs. 21 The five key districts we'll -- key districts we'll 22 be highlighted in the Inland Empire start with CD-24. 23 is a San Bernardino County centered district that brings

in some of Riverside County due to VRA considerations.

It keeps the emerging desert COIs in Adelanto and

24

1	Victorville, and together with Barstow due to similar
2	transportation concerns in relation to the 15 highway.
3	Secondly, CD-31 is a majority Latinx CVAP district
4	with a Black CVAP of 13.19 percent. This District keeps
5	the COIs of San Bernardino and Rialto whole and together.
6	Part of Fontana is grouped into this District due to the
7	VRA considerations and the common interests these
8	communities share with San Bernardino and Rialto.
9	Next, CD-33 is a majority Latinx CVAP district.
10	This District includes Ontario and communities in Rancho
11	Cucamonga, and Fontana, though some splits were required
12	due to VRA considerations here.
13	Moving on to CD-38, it is a majority Latinx CVAP
14	district. We were able to respect VRA considerations
15	here while keeping hub COIs in Palm Springs, Desert Hot
16	Springs, Beaumont, and Banning together in this District
17	with most of our COI in the Hemet and San Jacinto area.
18	This configuration is a change from assembly as it
19	allows Hemet to be paired with other hub COIs given the
20	VRA considerations in the area.
21	The last district, CD-39, is a majority Latinx CVAP
22	district. This District includes Moreno Valley,
23	Riverside, and Corona. But some splits in order to unify
24	COI and comply with VRA considerations in the area.



Moving on to Los Angeles. The congressional

1 priorities here were to keep hub COIs in South L.A. whole and together and within two strong south L.A. based districts with thirty to thirty-five percent Black CVAP. 3 4 To keep hub COIs in Long Beach whole and together in a 5 district with fifteen to twenty percent Black CVAP. Keep COIs in the black communities of Altadena and 6 7 North Hollywood whole and in districts with other similar communities. Keep hub COIs in the Antelope Valley whole 8 and together in a district with similar communities. 10 Lastly, comply with the VRA by drawing majority Latinx 11 districts where possible. And of course, protecting our 12 key COIs. 13 The five districts in Los Angeles that we'll 14 highlight begin with CD-25, which keeps the COIs of 15 Palmdale and Lancaster whole and together. 16 communities are paired with similar communities of 17 interest in California City. 18 Next, CD-36, keeps South Los Angeles COIs of 19 downtown Los Angeles, Skid Row, Baldwin Hills, Crenshaw, 20 South Park, and West Adams whole and together in a 21 district with 35.28 percent Black CVAP. 22 Next, the Congressional District 42 keeps South Los 23 Angeles COIs of Compton, Watts, Inglewood, Gardena, and 24 Hawthorne together in a district with 34.47 Black CVAP.

And lastly, CD-43, is a majority Latinx CVAP district

1	with a Black CVAP of 16.29 percent. This District
2	includes the hub COI of Long Beach, as well as Carson.
3	And moving on to San Diego, the congressional
4	priority was to protect key COIs by keeping them whole,
5	together and with similar communities. Here, we aimed to
6	keep all of City Heights and southeastern Diego whole and
7	in a district together.
8	Keep Lemon Grove, Spring Valley, La Mesa, and El
9	Cajon with City Heights, southeast or similar
10	communities. Keep COIs out of districts with coastal,
11	downtown, or east San Diego County communities. And
12	lastly, comply with the VRA by drawing majority Latinx
13	CVAP districts where possible and protecting our COIs.
14	The districts we'll be highlighting here start with
15	CD-51. It appears to identify communities of interest
16	Lemon Grove, Spring Valley, La Mesa, and El Cajon. It
17	also includes AAPI COIs of Mira Mesa, Kearny Mesa,
18	Claremont Mesa, and Linda Vista.
19	CD-52 is a majority Latinx CVAP district. Here we
20	included City Heights and Southeast COIs in this District
21	whole to both comply with VRA consideration and help keep
22	key COIs whole and together as much as possible.
23	That concludes the Senate portion of our plan. I
24	will go ahead and pass it on to James.
25	MR. WOODSON: Thank you, Natasha. So in conclusion,

I just wanted to take a moment really, to talk about why it's important to get maps right for the black community, why it's important to protect COIs that are important to black people, and why it's important to listen to black voices through the remainder of this process.

Black people have played a critical role in the growth and development of California. Black people have led and sparked civil rights and social justice movements both in the past and in the last several decades, been a key driving economic force and pushed the state to be the best it can be on a range of issues.

And yet black communities continue to be the most disproportionately impacted across a wide range of issues, including housing, education, health care, economic opportunity, etcetera.

For example, black people are less likely to own a home, more likely to be suspended or expelled from school and more likely to be incarcerated and subjected to use of forth force by state actors than any other racial or ethnic group in the state.

There are many factors contributing to these conditions, but one of the biggest is the lack of political representation. This state faces many challenges, but too often black people have borne the brunt of those challenges.

we need more elected officials in this state who are
taking these issues seriously and addressing these issues
on behalf of the nearly 3 million black Californians in
this state and the tens of millions of others who live in
community with those 3 million black Californians.

To be clear, the black community is growing in

2.3

California. Black population grew by over five percent over the last ten years, according to our calculation. The black population is also becoming more diverse as more black people identify as multi or biracial throughout the country and in the State of California.

We need maps that will ensure black people are seen, heard, and respected on all three levels of government.

And even more importantly, maps that will spark action to correct the disparities we experience.

The work you do here won't solve all of those problems, but it certainly will set us up to work on our own behalf and in solidarity in coalition with other communities to take action on these issues over the next ten years.

Now, as you've heard, the black hub proposal is unique in that it proposes entire plans for Assembly, Senate, and Congressional districts and again, works to balance multiple ranked criteria and multiple interests.

Our maps protect historic black neighborhoods and



recognize emerging community. Our maps factor in the
voting rights considerations and COI priorities and
preferences of other communities while protecting key
COIs to the black community throughout the state.

2.3

And lastly, our maps respect local boundaries and ensure contiguity, and compactness to the extent possible, given where those criteria are ranked and what you need to consider.

For these reasons, we hope that you'll look closely at our submission and the ways in which we pair communities together, particularly underrepresented communities, and incorporate many of those dynamics in your own maps.

What we presented today is just a slice, a key slice, but just a slice of the districts we've drawn. Because of time constraints, we were not able to talk extensively about how we managed the competing interest and dynamics throughout the state on all three levels.

But we certainly encourage you to take a closer look at our submission and we'd be happy to answer any questions if you need us to expand on any district configurations and provide more context on why we draw districts in the ways that we did.

Finally, on behalf of the entire Black Hub team, thank you for your time. And certainly we wish you all



1 the luck in the tremendous endeavor that you've taken on to draw maps for the entire State of California. We know 3 you certainly have a lot to consider, and we appreciate the opportunity to hear our proposal. We hope that these 4 5 last few minutes that we're able to give you back will help you get through the rest of the day. So I 6 7 appreciate the time. Thank you so much, Mr. Woodson. 8 CHAIR SADHWANI: 9 definitely need that luck and we appreciate that extra 10 time. Many thanks to your whole team for this great 11 presentation. Thank you. 12 And I think I did mention previously today, but just 13 given a reminder, I think these slides are different from 14 what was submitted previously. You are welcome to submit 15 the slides by emailing them to the 16 VotersFIRSTAct@CRC.CA.gov. Thanks so much. 17 Katy? 18 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair. Right now we 19 will have PMI 026. And up next after that is PMI 027. 20 And I'd also like to make the announcement that we will 21 be taking general public comment at the end of the 22 meeting tomorrow. Just for those in the queue, if you 2.3 have called in, we will be taking the general comments

tomorrow at the end of this session.

24

1 026, you can now enable your audio and video in the lower left corner of your screen and your screen sharing will 3 be in the bottom center. And if you'll please share your 4 map before you begin your narrative, the floor will be 5 yours. And we are seeing your presentation. You are not 6 in presentation mode if that matters to you. 7 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Unmute. And there you 8 go. 9 Thank you so much, Commissioners. Yes, that's fine. 10 If you don't mind, I'll keep it in this mode. Thank you 11 so much. Hello, Commissioners. My name is Myrna 12 Castrejon. I am the CEO of the California Charter 13 Schools Association, known as CCSA. 14 Our association represents 1,300 nonprofit charter 15 public schools that educate nearly 700,000 students, most 16 of them low-income students and students of color across 17 the State of California. 18 CCSA takes very seriously the issue of 19 representation in our government and our public-school 20 systems. And as part of California's public-school 21 family, we want to thank you for your service to the 22 state in ensuring fair and equitable representation for 2.3 students and families who are in dire need of leaders who

will be accountable to the students and families who face

multiple risk factors that make them more likely to be

24

left behind by our public education system.

CCSA is here to advocate for these families to be considered communities of interest for the purposes of acknowledging the sharp educational inequities that occur in every community.

There are indicators of these risk factors that are available to help guide the Commission's work as you approach the very challenging task of drawing district lines that sometimes run right through cities, counties, or school districts to better reflect the needs of these communities.

We are proposing five specific metrics that help define the community of interest of Californians for whom the status quo is failing in the public education space. There are community indicators available to guide you as you examine the most effective way to reflect this community of interest as you draw the lines.

I'd like to briefly touch on why these metrics impact our students ability to achieve success in K-through-12 schools.

Metric one Students of color are more likely to face poverty and also attend high poverty schools that lack the resources to provide a high-quality education. These circumstances typically indicate a greater likelihood that their education experience will fail these students,

as American Indian, Latinx, and Black students are one hundred and thirty percent, ninety percent, and fifty-one percent, respectively, more likely to drop out of high school than their white counterparts.

2.0

Immigrant children. The same disadvantage follows immigrant children who are seventy percent more likely to drop out of high school than students who are born in the United States.

Similarly, students classified as English language learners, a key designation made for California students who enter their public education experience, having spoken a language other than English at home, are twenty percent less likely to graduate from high school than the total population of high school students.

Another key indicator is whether a student will graduate high school is the educational experience of their parents as the children of parents who graduate from high school are far more likely to do the same when compared to children of parents without diplomas.

Finally, one last indicator, and this is a critical piece of data that we will provide to the Commission for the purposes of identifying the communities that are left behind by our public education system. These are school site level data sets of student performance scores, as measured by the California Assessment of Student's

Performance in Progress, also known as CASP.

2.3

Researchers have concluded that the language, racial, ethnic, and economic indicators described correlate directly with student performance on these assessments. And I think you'll recognize that pattern well in the maps that we are sharing with the Commission today.

These metrics are available to the public through the American Community Survey known as ACS. We'll keep sending them to you in a visual format, a state wide heatmaps along with a data set that CCSA has developed to identify low performing schools based on aggregated CASP scores.

As commissioners, you'll be asked to make difficult decisions for the purposes of juggling several criteria against each other, especially when it comes to balancing districts for idealized population.

The first map we'll be presenting is the Northeast Los Angeles in relation to the cities of Glendale and Burbank as an example of how these variables intersect. The schools on this map have all been designated -- assigned rather a color based on the average student score on the CASP for that school.

The scores have been compared to each other and ranked on the basis of a relative one to one hundredth

score. The green schools that you see in this map have above average ranking. The orange schools, all those dots across this map are schools that have a below average ranking. Now, generally speaking, the schools in Glendale and Burbank are ranked higher than the cluster of schools seen south of these cities in northeast L.A., East L.A. in downtown L.A.

2.0

Here is a sample map, but with that additional layer provided along with the schools. The green areas in this map indicate the easiest findings of the high school graduation rates for persons aged twenty-five or older, which is one of the indicators of student success we discussed earlier in the presentation.

Green Census blocks groups indicate an above average rate of high school graduates, and of course, orange block groups indicate below average rates of high school graduates. There is a clear relationship in this visualization between lower high school graduation rates of adults and the low performing K-12 schools that serve these communities.

In this visualization, we're able to see how

Assembly districts could be drawn to reflect this divide,

along with the same logic that could be applied for State

Senate and Congressional maps as well. When similarly

situated communities are grouped together, they band

together electoral power to hold accountable the elected officials for their respective districts.

2.3

When district maps reflect this community of interest fixing low performing schools and addressing the factors that go into creating these situations becomes a priority for the elected officials in this area. I mentioned also that language is an indicator. In this visualization, you'll see the block groups where the ACS language other than English is above average and runs across both districts.

A closer look at this dataset differentiates the blue patches which are Indo-European, and reflect the high concentration of Armenian language speakers in Glendale and the magenta schools, which are Spanish. This differentiation between the two language groups is another illustration of how this region can have lines reflecting these communities of interest.

This visualization highlights all of the census block groups for the Latino citizen voting age population exceeds fifty percent. The same proposed assembly district labeled AD-Nella is drawn with a 53.4 percent Latino citizen voting age population.

Let's move to the second set of maps near Monterey County, which until recently was subject to Section 5 of the VRA and drawn to comply with these requirements. We

applied the very same visualization to this region as well.

2.3

A cluster of low performing schools exists in the Salinas Valley along the 101 corridor towards the south end of Monterey County. This visualization provides the high school graduation rate metric along with the school sites, and you can see the very clear relationship between the two.

Communities across the Santa Cruz Mountains and the city of Santa Cruz and the north end of Monterey Bay perform significantly better than average with these metrics, as do the cities of Monterey, Pacific Grove, and Carmel, rather, toward the south end of the Monterey Bay.

Meanwhile, the orange schools and census blocks are clustered from Gilroy in Santa Clara County down to Watsonville in Santa Cruz County and into the Salinas Valley and down towards King City in Monterey County.

In this visualization, we're able to see how

Assembly districts could be drawn to reflect this divide.

We would suggest that this part of the assembly map be

drawn in this manner to recognize the Salinas Valley

community of interest when it comes to these student

achievement indicators.

When district maps reflect this community of interest fixing, low performing schools and addressing

the factors that go into creating these situations becomes a priority for the elected officials in this area. And the Salinas Valley Assembly District we are proposing, would comply with Section two of the Voting Rights Act, as it has 52.1 percent Latino citizen voting age population.

Our third example is Fresno, with a very busy mass of both orange and green schools on this map. And you can see again that below average orange schools make up the significant majority of schools on the western side of the city and west of Highway 99.

The above area -- let me try that one more time -- above average green schools are clustered on the eastern side of the city and the county. We are proposing assembly districts in this area to be drawn north to south in order to better reflect these communities of interest.

The high school graduation layer also shows communities in the southeast city of San Diego and Lemon Grove that—sorry, I lost my place here—that also have fewer than average adult age high school graduates located between the Interstate 8 communities in Chula Vista in the maps related to San Diego that we submitted for your consideration.

The San Diego left district would include the mostly



Latino communities from City Heights South to include the
southern portion of San Diego City Council District 9 in
the entirety of San Diego City Council District 8,
 National City, West Chula Vista, and Imperial Bait Beach

The San Diego Right District would include La Mesa,
Lemon Grove, San Diego City District Council 4, Spring
Valley, Bonita, and East Chula Vista. This configuration
of Assembly districts would be splitting the boundaries
of the cities of San Diego and Chula Vista. But it does
so with these strong state policy considerations in mind.

When accounting for language, these proposed lines assign the majority of block groups where a language other than English is spoken to the San Diego Left district. Additionally, the San Diego left has the overwhelming concentration of Latino majority-minority block groups and an overall Latino citizen voting age population a 56.6 percent.

To conclude, when taking the language, high school graduation rates, school performance, and ethnic citizen voting age population data into account, we believe this is the most prudent division of assembly districts across the city and county boundaries that the Commission can make for San Diego County, South Bay, Fresno County, Monterey County, and Northeast Los Angeles.

These examples are not the limits of how we asked



you to consider these regions, but rather just a few examples of how the Commission can consider relevant data sets to protect the interests of students and parents who are most likely at risk to be left behind in our public education system.

For your further consideration, we will be submitting statewide and regional heat maps, illustrating communities that fall above and below the median rates for these indicators, as well as pin maps that show the locations of schools and whether average student performance on the CASP assessment falls above or below the state median.

Additionally, we acknowledge that only Assembly districts are presented today, but want to ask that you also make the same considerations when determining how Senate and Congressional districts should be organized across city and county boundaries as well.

Thank you so much, Commissioners, for your time today. CCSA will be submitting these data sets and maps discussed in today's presentation to the commissioners for your consideration. Thank you very much.

CHAIR SADHWANI: Excellent. Thank you so much.

Katy?

2.3

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair. Right now we will have PMI 027. And then up next after that will be

1 PM 028(a) and PMI 028(b).

2.0

PMI 027, I will be promoting you now. PMI 027, you can now enable your audio and video in the lower left corner of your screen and your screen sharing is in the bottom center. If you will, please share your map prior to beginning your narrative. We will begin your time.

MR. PAYNE: All right. Hopefully you can see my screen and hear me.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. The floor is yours.

MR. PAYNE: All right. Fantastic. Let me get started. Hi, everyone. Thank you, Commissioners. My name is Jeremy Payne. I am the program director of Equality California. I'm here to present on our LGBTQ+ community maps that Equality California prepared today.

As I always do a little bit about Equality
California, we are the nation's largest statewide
lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer LGBTQ+
civil rights organization with over 900,000 members.

And I'm here present on our LGBTQ+ community maps, which identify the geographically connected LGBTQ+ communities in Sacramento, the Bay Area, Los Angeles, Long Beach, the Coachella Valley, and San Diego specifically.

These maps recommend district lines help us unify



our LGBTQ+ community and of course, empower them to elect candidates of choice, both LGBTQ+ themselves and candidates who are responsive to the LGBTQ+ community's needs.

2.3

When developing these maps, our data wasn't formed from membership data of local, state, and national LGBTQ+ organizations that all worked in a collaborative effort to make sure that the LGBTQ+ community was heard and to continue the historic precedent of LGBTQ+ input in California's redistricting process.

Early on, I mentioned the Harvey Milk history lesson being the first openly gay man to serve as an elected official in California. And that was in thanks to the equitable redistricting in San Francisco back in the 1970(s), though, we'd like to continue that legacy in California.

And so what I'm presenting on each of those regions,
I'll first show a heat map that shows our LGBTQ+
community and our supporter index, of course, with the
density shown in darker colors. And then I'll overlay
that with our Congressional, Senate, and Assembly
recommendations.

In my packet, there are boundary lines that show the neighborhoods. I won't be going over that in detail, but that is included in the presentation I have submitted to

1 | the Voting Rights Act email.

2.3

So starting first with Sacramento, we'll see our first heat map of the Sacramento region of the Sacramento LGBTQ+ community being concentrated in the downtown midtown area that has seen elected officials such as Councilmember Steve Hansen, who formerly served in the midtown area, as it was recently redrawn in 2011 redistricting cycle to make it an LGBTQ+ Lavender Heights district.

So for our congressional districts, we like to keep that LGBTQ+ community at the core of our congressional district and build outward and clean our West Sacramento and our eastern Sacramento regions, where we're trying to see a population growth out into the suburbs or surrounding communities of the downtown Sacramento area.

So this is a neighborhood maps I will be skipping, but this will be included in the packet for review after my presentation.

Our Assembly districts are very similar to our

Congressional districts, of course, keeping that downtown

LGBTQ+ community at the center and then building out

include West Sacramento, as well as our eastern

Sacramento regions here, including many of its

neighborhoods.

And as we go to our Senate district, you'll see that



it is again, very similar for the Sacramento reason. think because of the population size, we're able to draw a Senate district that empowers the LGBTO+ community, bringing in that LGBTQ+ community of interest in the West Sacramento region and also being able to extend down into Elk Grove slightly over here, where we're starting to see in many LGBTQ+ residents that are now identifying as supporters or members of the community and building into the kind of larger overall LGBTQ+ empowerment in the Sacramento region.

And now I'm going to carry us over into the Bay

Area. I like to separate it into three separate regions,

and I'm going to focus on the East Bay, San Francisco Bay

region and then the South Bay.

So first, which are the East Bay talking about the history of electing LGBTQ+ candidates, especially at the local level. And there is the Oakland Pride Festival as well as the LGBTQ+ center in the city of Oakland that has long served many of the members that you'll see on our heat map that live pretty much throughout the entire region of the East Bay.

Often overlooked, not talked about, but there is a large concentration of LGBTQ+ folks and supporters in the East Bay, and we we're fortunate to be able to draw congressional district that nicely encapsulates pretty



1	much the entire LGBTQ+ concentration here. But of
2	course, Alameda County is a little bit larger than one
3	Congressional district, so there are a little bit of
4	slivers that had to be cut out. And we focused on making
5	sure that we kept the concentration at the core.

As far as Assembly districts, you see three districts here. It's not one mega assembly district. We have District A up here that includes the Richmond area that we didn't see in the original heat map. But there is a large concentration of LGBTQ+ folks here that extends, of course, through that Berkeley and Oakland suburbs, including Piedmont here.

And then we're able to create an Oakland Assembly district that's District B, that includes downtown Oakland, through San Leandro, and San Lorenzo down here following the natural waterline.

And then we'll get to District C when I cross over into San Francisco. But first, let me show you the Senate district recommendation that we have as well that fully captures the LGBTQ+ community of East Bay with Alameda County and the Berkeley, Oakland, Piedmont up into Richmond, and then the surrounding Contra Costa County region.

For now I want to head over across the bay into San Francisco, where I started our story with Harvey Milk and



of course, in the Castro neighborhood, that it's been an iconic LGBTQ+ neighborhood. We've also seen many severally openly LGBTQ+ candidates sort of at the local state level here, especially in the Castro and surrounding neighborhoods that head east and northeast in the San Francisco region.

When it comes to our congressional district, we're able to nicely draw Congressional district that almost fully captures the county of San Francisco. But our congressional district does capture the bulk of our LGBTQ+ community with respect to the Castro surrounding neighborhoods east and northeast here.

And then for our Assembly district, we're able to kind of be a little bit more of a fighting cut of the LGBTQ+ community with our Castro surrounding neighborhoods as we head east and then northeast in the San Francisco region, keeping many other supervisorial districts that were iconic and held by LGBTQ+ candidates, again, of course, we want to respect the natural municipal lines of our districts when drawing our recommendations.

For our Senate districts, we do tap into a larger region here that includes the northern tip of San Mateo County. That's just given the population requirements of our Senate districts that are quite large in California.



So we do see a Senate district that's still an LGBTQ+ empowerment district because it keeps the core of our San Francisco LGBTQ community together with, of course, some of the other LGBTQ+ regions of San Mateo County.

2.3

And now I'll do the south Bay. We're in San Jose as well as our surrounding cities we have seen openly LGBTQ+ representatives serving in the state legislature as well as at the local level.

And this region is, of course, have been very supportive of LGBTQ+ candidates at the local level as well throughout the Silicon Valley pass, which creates kind of a nice belt of LGBTQ+ supporters from downtown San Jose, where we have the ability to (indiscernible) LGBTQ+ that are all the way up to the Palo Alto and the Stanford region and including pretty much all of Santa Clara County into one congressional district that we're able to nicely draw.

So this is a nice congressional district that just make sure that we keep as many of our LGBTQ+ communities of interest together as one, rather than separating them significantly throughout the redistricting process.

We're able to draw a Senate district that also resembles much of that nice kind of beltway of the South Bay, with San Jose here leading to Palo Alto and the Stanford region.



We don't have an Assembly district recommendation
for this, but I will just drop it down to Southern
California so we can start talking about Los Angeles and
L.A. County as a whole. As you can imagine, a very large
and robust geographically spread out LGBTQ+ community
that you can see on our heat map.

But there is essentially a geographic connection as we have our Silver Lake region, of course, with the Black Hat Tavern riot of 1967 has been a big moment in the LGBTQ+ liberation movement, and that connects with the southern San Fernando Valley that is growing with population kind of move out of the urban areas into more suburbs.

Especially our LGBTQ+ community or with our iconic
West Hollywood region, and that spills out to the Pacific
Ocean and follows our natural waterway through El
Segundo, Redondo Beach, and Torrance, and then an RPV
down here.

So we're not able to draw that all into one congressional district. So we do have two congressional districts recommendations with the dividing line on this Beverly Hills divider We have an inland LGBTQ+ community of interest that empowers this West Hollywood, Silver Lake and the new South San Fernando Valley, LGBTQ+ area.

We have a West Side coastal LGBTQ+ community



interest that is tied to our ecological communities here, extending from Malibu, all the way down to RPV, including Torrance, including Marina del Rey, and Venice has a high LGBTQ+ density, as well as our Pacific Palisades over here of Santa Monica.

All of our Assembly districts, It's very similar where we have to draw a dividing line throughout Beverly Hills and then create this core and let LGBTQ+ assembly district keep ourselves in San Fernando Valley or Silver Lake, Los Feliz, and Echo Park and our West Hollywood, and then our West Side coastal community of Pacific Palisades, Malibu, Santa Monica, Venice, and Marina del Rey region. Keep our community nicely together.

And then for our Senate district, we're able to kind of jointly put this all together into one district that bridges the LGBTQ+ community throughout the entire L.A. County and region.

And I'd be remiss not to mention it, Long Beach when talking about L.A. County's LGBTQ+ community, but I wanted to bring attention to it separately because of the geographic breakdown of the LGBTQ+ community that is pretty much within the boundaries of the city, but primarily concentrated in the downtown Alamitos Beach, Belmont Shore, and the Fourth Street retro row area where the Long Beach Center is located, the LGBTQ center.

So for our Congressional districts, we're able to almost keep Long Beach whole, which has been a priority given that the LGBTQ+ population is widely spread out throughout the city and we have connected that with Signal Hill, Lake wood, Cypress, Seal Beach, and Huntington Beach, making sure that we understand the congressional interest of the ecological preservation of the coastline and bring it into other coastal communities and understanding that these two cities here at Long Beach and Huntington Beach have been able to locally elect LGBTO+ candidates.

2.3

When it comes to our Assembly districts, we're able to draw an assembly district that almost fully captures all the three of these boundaries that this region here, but again, ties it into the Seal Beach, Cypress, Signal Hill area making sure that we keep Long Beach as whole as possible, understanding the breakdown of the LGBTQ+ community heat map.

And then for our Assembly district, we are able to pretty much get all of Long Beach together and then start to bridge that northwards into inland L.A. County and South L.A., bringing in some of our south L.A. LGBTQ+ communities that are often overlooked but without infringing on our VRA considerations of some of our partners. So this is how we're able to configure that to

best accommodate the LGBTQ+ community with our intersectional identities.

And now I'm going to hop over to the Coachella

Valley, primarily talking about the Palm Springs, Rancho

Mirage, Cathedral City, Palm Desert, and Indio region

that has long been a longstanding LGBTQ+ community.

Here we see a heat map that's a little bit zoomed out, but it shows the heavy concentration of LGBTQ+ folks in the east Coachella Valley that extend outwards into the desert communities.

So we're drawing a Congressional district. We do not have to draw a very large, but we are thankful to be able to draw this in connection to Imperial County. And that is because El Centro has its own LGBT center but works closely with our Coachella Valley in terms of health services for our trans and gender nonconforming communities.

So it's great to be able to connect that interest here to empower both these LGBTQ+ communities that may seem geographically divided but are united in some of the services that they seek.

For Assembly districts, we are able to focus on the Coachella Valley, drawing an Assembly district that no longer divides this community -- the LGBTQ+ community -- how that brings it all together into one greater

1 Coachella Valley and Desert Communities Assembly
2 District.

2.0

And for our Senate district, we're able to do that as well in making sure that we keep everything whole and then bringing it together with Blythe and Needles,

Twentynine Palms, making sure that we have the desert communities included into a more understanding and accurate representation of what this community interest lies in. And it's great as the LGBTQ+ community there.

And similar to San Francisco, San Diego has seen much success in LGBTQ+ leadership thanks to fair and equitable redistricting. Very recently we have seen many LGBTQ+ leaders have spent time here, and much of that has been because of the region of the Hillcrest and the surrounding Balboa Park neighborhood of University Heights, North Park, Golden Hills, South Park.

Essentially, everything that encapsulates the Balboa Park region has been an incubator for LGBTQ+ mobilization and civic leadership.

And so when we are drawing LGBTQ+ districts that empower the community, we have a congressional district that extends eastward, capturing the full LGBTQ+ community of Hillcrest, University Heights, North Park region and extends into La Mesa and Chula Vista without too much infringing on our Latinx population, that it's

1 going to be kind of formed here in the VRA districts. And then for our assembly districts, we're able to extend westward again, making sure that we protect our 3 4 Latinx districts and not infringe there, but still understanding of the intersectional identities of the 5 LGBTQ+ community and our racial and ethnic backgrounds. 6 7 But we extend westward --MR. MANOFF: One minute. 9 MR. PAYNE: -- Del Mar, Coronado, and Imperial Beach 10 for our assembly districts. And I'll quickly just 11 represent district as I heard a time of call out. 12 So with our Senate districts again, we keep the core 13 LGBTQ+ community held completely together and extend 14 throughout most of the natural city boundaries of the 15 city of San Diego. 16 And so that is my presentation of our LGBTQ+ 17

community maps, and we hope the 2021 Redistricting Commission continues to --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAYNE: -- recognize the LGBTQ+ community as a community of interest. And thank you so much for your time and wish you all the luck as you continue to draw your lines.

CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you so much for that great presentation. And as a reminder, you're welcome to send

- 1 the slides if you'd like to see them posted to our email
- 2 VotersFIRSTACT@CRC.CA.Gov. Thanks so much.
- 3 Katy?
- 4 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Wonderful. And we have
- 5 PMI 028(a) and (b), I will be promoting both now. PMI
- 6 028(a), I do see you have activated your camera. If you
- 7 | would please share your presentation prior to beginning
- 8 your narrative, this will begin your time.
- 9 And PMI 028(b), you can now enable your audio and
- 10 | video in the lower left corner of your screen. And
- 11 whoever -- perfect. Oh.
- 12 MS. BUBSER: Sorry. One second. I'm trying to get
- 13 the presentation.
- 14 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Oh, you're perfect.
- MS. BUBSER: I had it open on my screen.
- 16 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We are a little ahead of
- 17 | schedule, so please do not feel rushed.
- MS. BUBSER: Okay. Thank you. No, no. Sorry.
- 19 It's hiding.
- 20 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: It always is.
- 21 MS. BUBSER: Would you be able to share it?
- MS. KAUFMAN: I can try.
- 23 MS. BUBSER: Okay. Sorry about this. I had it all
- 24 ready. I don't want to be -- there it is. I can tell --
- 25 here it is.



1	MS. KAUFMAN: Do you have it?
2	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We do see it. Sierra
3	Nevada COI. Oh, there you go.
4	MS. BUBSER: Can everyone see that?
5	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. And you are
6	in presentation mode. The floor is yours.
7	MS. BUBSER: Great. So Joyce, the floor is yours.
8	MS. KAUFMAN: Thank you. Thank you all for allowing
9	us to have this opportunity. I'm Joyce Kaufman. I live
10	in June Lake in Mono County. And I'll be joined by my
11	colleague, Chris Bubser, who lives in Mammoth Lakes in
12	Mono County.
13	We have spoken to this group before and really want
14	to thank you for listening and really hearing our
15	concerns about the Assembly district. We especially
16	support visualization ADBECA which includes the counties
17	we think are part of our community of interest and in our
18	written and oral comments in July and August provided the
19	rationale for saying so.
20	Our main concerns today are regarding the
21	visualizations for the Congressional district for a
22	number of reasons. I will review some of them and my
23	colleague Chris Bubser will then continue. With all due
24	respect, the two visualizations CDAECA and CDBECA do not

represent what we considered to be acceptable given our

25

1 needs.

As you can see, both of them include Kern County.

And while the first that is CDAECA only includes a portion of the county, it's important to remember there is little that Kern County has in common with Mono County and the other counties on the Sierra Crest and to the east of the Sierra Nevada mountains. Kern is an area of large industrial farming which we do not have here. And since it's separated from us by a mountain range, it's not really contiguous.

In our written and oral comments before this commission in July and August, we stressed the importance of staying with a community of interests that reflects who we are and our priorities and needs. Placing us in an area where the main population center is in the Central Valley would virtually ensure that any representative is unaware and not responsive to our needs.

Now for some particulars as to why we say that.

First, business. Most of the businesses in the area that form that form our community of interest east of the crest of the Sierra Nevada mountains are small and depend on tourism. This is very different from the economy of Kern County and also San Bernardino, which we had been part of.

We think that it is important we are in a congressional district with other counties that have a similar economic structure and concerns and appreciate what it means to be an area dependent on recreation and tourism.

2.0

2.3

Second, broadband. Because of our rural nature, access to broadband is critical. As we note in our written comments to the Commission, the pandemic exposed the inequities and injustices we have had to live with regarding broadband.

Next, health care. One of the major issues we face in our area of the eastern Sierra is access to health care, especially specialty care. With the pandemic, there is growing emphasis on telemedicine, which assumes reliable broadband a problem I just mentioned.

For trauma and emergency needs, many of our residents are medevacked to Reno, which brings with it other issues, especially for people who have health care through Medi-Cal, which is not accepted in Nevada.

I raised this issue at a town hall meeting with our current member of Congress, and he responded by talking about the need to raise Medi-Cal payments, not realizing that the critical issue was one of crossing state lines, something that could be addressed by a member of Congress working with colleagues in Nevada and somebody who

1 understands what our needs and requirements are.

My colleague Chris Bubser will now address our other concerns. Chris? Chris, you're muted.

MS. BUBSER: Thank you so much. And thank you to the members of the Commission. I'd like to refer to the map that we submitted for discussion, and it's shown on the screen as well.

While we realize that this map doesn't approach the roughly 800,000 people needed for a full Congressional district --

MR. MANOFF: One minute.

2.0

MS. BUBSER: -- it's imperative that the seat of a congressional district drawn for the Sierra is accessible to and focused on the communities that are economically driven by tourism and recreation. Anyone representing this area needs to understand the challenges of stewardship of regions that are composed largely of federal lands.

One of the challenges for the people of this year is the lack of East west transportation corridors.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds remaining.

MS. BUBSON: Okay. The Sierra has no drivable passes south of Route 50 and Eldorado and Placer counties for six months of the year. So we're not contiguous with Madera and Fresno Counties. We use Reno as a

1	transportation hub. So while it may seem reasonable to
2	combine the Central Sierra with Kern County based on the
3	accessibility through Highway 14 and 58 through
4	Tehachapi, that skirts the bottom of the Sierra, is much
5	more a part of the Central Valley.
6	So while it seems to solve a
7	CHAIR SADHWANI: I think we've run out of time.
8	Thank you so much, Ms. Kaufman and Ms. Bubser. Again,
9	you're welcome to send in additional information through
10	our through our email address.
11	Katy, do we have one more? Is that it?
12	MR. MANOFF: That is all of our appointments for
13	today, Chair.
14	CHAIR SADHWANI: Very good. Thank you. All right.
15	Perfect. Then we will go to a fifteen-minute break.
16	Thank you so much.
17	(Whereupon, a recess was held)
18	CHAIR SADHWANI: Welcome back to the California
19	Citizens Redistricting Commission as we continue our
20	discussion around the public map input sessions. We are
21	nearing completion of two days of receiving public map
22	ideas from folks with appointments.
23	In this last hour and a half, we have some time as a
24	Commission to discuss some of what we have seen, as well
25	as to provide any additional direction to our line

1 drawing team as they prepare our next set of visualizations, which will be full statewide plans for next week. 3 So with that, I'm going to open it up to 4 5 commissioners if anyone has any discussion or direction to line drawers. Thank you. 6 7 Commissioner Ahmad? COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. And thank you to everyone who took the time out to give two full 10 days of presentations. A lot of information. I just had 11 a quick question, and I'm not sure who this question goes 12 to, but will we get these visualizations -- what was the word you used -- plans -- in advance of next week's 13 14 meeting to review? 15 CHAIR SADHWANI: I think the plan is always to have 16 the visualizations at least twenty-four hours in advance. 17 But Karin and your team, if you want to give more 18 specifics. 19 MS. MACDONALD: Thank you for that question, 20 Commissioner Ahmad. Thank you, Chair Sadhwani. Yes, we 21 will have the plans available at least twenty-four hours 22 in advance, and they will be on the website. And we will

be working with Alvaro's team to make sure that they are

a little bit easier accessible and better sorted than

23

24

25

last time.

1 CHAIR SADHWANI: Great. Thank you for that. Commissioner Turner? COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I'm really 3 4 glad. Really, really just appreciate the input that 5 we've received over the last couple of days. And I'm wondering to the line drawers, on our maps, that was the 6 7 ADBS, I think, Tracey. I think I captured earlier. 8 Regardless of the area that I'm looking at in the 9 Central Valley, I'm interested in seeing Just a 10 visualization or a plan that would include kind of the 11 east-west split of an assembly in the San Joaquin area. 12 I thought it was intriguing of the -- ours is not 13 quite that way. I'd like to see what it looks like to 14 split Eastern in the rural areas. And then also the west area for those two. So I'd like to see that if you can 15 16 in. 17 And with the exclusion of Mountain House, I think 18 our -- so everything except for excluding mountain house 19 and keeping everything else whole. So if I can see that, 20 I'd appreciate it. 21 And I'm going to have to -- I do see where all of 22 the submissions are. I'll look at them a little bit more 23 and see some of the other areas, particularly that was 24 given over the last couple of days. But that's what I 25 have for now. Thank you.

1 CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you so much. Commissioner Yee? COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair. Let's see. 3 4 Let me share a screen. I was interested in one of the 5 Black Census and Redistricting Hub's, assembly districts, and interested in what they did with Vallejo. So 6 7 bouncing Vallejo around, Solano County, Napa County, I'm not recalling whether we tried anything like this. 8 9 And so I don't recall. I just don't recall. I just 10 found a very interesting possibility. And I think there 11 was also a Senate district, perhaps, that went all the 12 way to Richmond as well. 13 So yeah. I'd just like to explore that more somehow 14 without -- I'm sorry, I'm not recalling exactly where we 15 have landed thus far, but I thought this added an 16 interesting possibility. 17 CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you for that. I included 18 myself in this line up with a raised hand. So I'll take 19 my opportunity. And mine is a very general feedback to 20 the line drawing team. We've received actually some 21 significant VRA analysis from other groups. 22 Some of it looks somewhat similar to the analysis 2.3 that our team has been doing. Some of it's a little bit 24 different. And in certain areas, drawing additional

districts that -- and I know our analysis is still

25

1 preliminary, but drawing additional districts in areas that I don't believe we were planning to draw on 3 necessarily. So I just want -- my request is that the line 4 5 drawing team works with the VRA team, with Dr. Gall. know you already are, but to review some of the 6 7 submissions that have come in and compare it to our own 8 analysis. 9 And next week, whether or not it's entirely 10 incorporated into the maps for next week, but at least to 11 help us understand where there might be differences 12 between our own analysis and the analyses that are coming 13 in and why that might be right. If there are 14 differences, that would be helpful to learn a little bit 15 more about why we're seeing variations in that regard. 16 Other commissioners with other comments or feedback 17 for the line drawing team or any discussion even? 18 Commissioner Fornaciari? 19 COMMISSIOENR FORNACIARI: Yeah. Just something that 20 struck me. It's not really a direction or anything, but 21 two of the -- two of the groups are presented today, 22 that's South Bakersfield and then they went east around 2.3 Bakersfield instead of West. And I just thought that was 24 interesting. 25 I didn't recall seeing that in any of our maps.

1 I don't know if that makes any sense or helps or anything. But it was just -- it was just a different indifference that I don't recall seeing in our maps. 3 4 I just wanted to share. 5 CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you for that. Commissioner Fernandez? 6 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. I know I said I was going to hold my questions until next week, but I just wanted to see if -- I don't know if Kennedy is 10 there, but Karin and the line drawers, if you can --11 We did receive some very good information from the 12 flood plain for Sacramento. That was the Sacramento 13 American River. And yes, I would personally be under 14 water if it wasn't for the wonderful work that they're 15 doing. 16 So I just want to make sure that we are -- we're 17 hopefully, they are in one district. It probably has to 18 be split. But I'm just curious as to the Senate and 19 Congressional because they are doing great work to keep us afloat, literally. So if you can just look at that, 20 21 that be great. I think we did. But please confirm. 22 MS. WILSON: I'm sorry. May you specify the region? 2.3 You said in Sacramento? 24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry. Yes, it is 25 Sacramento, and it was actually 18. So it's the -- it

1 was our Number 18. 2 MS. WILSON: Oh, okay. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So if you -- and he had a 3 4 map that shows the specific floodplain area. 5 should hopefully help. If not, can you let me know and I can forward that to you? 6 7 MS. WILSON: Okay. I will look that up. COMMSISIONER FERNANDEZ: Great. Thank you. 9 CHAIR SADHWANI: Very good. Any additional comments or directions for line drawers from commissioners? 10 11 Commissioner Turner? 12 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. Yes. I was so 13 glad to see Kennedy pop up because she's such our expert 14 for this area. And I just didn't know -- I was trying to 15 flip between our maps and some of the information that we 16 have on our Airtable that was presented today. 17 So I'll just ask, Kennedy, that you can recall for 18 our Senate maps in the Central Valley, do they all 19 include -- or do we have one already that excludes Galt 2.0 but includes Lodi? MS. WILSON: I will need to take a look to see. 21 22 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay. And that is with San --2.3 with Stockton -- with --24 COMMISSIONER TURNER: San Joaquin. 25 MS. WILSON: San Joaquin.

1	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. With yeah, with San
2	Joaquin. I think one of the another presenter
3	today because we go back and forth whether it's going
4	to be Galt on one hand or Lodi on the other end.
5	But there was some information today that talked
6	about excluding in our Senate district Galt and including
7	Lodi, Riverbank, Tracy, and other parts of Stanislaus
8	that kept it San Joaquin and portions of Stanislaus as
9	opposed to going into the Bay Area of Contra Costa.
10	MS. WILSON: I will definitely look into that.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay. Thank you.
12	CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you.
13	Commissioner Vazquez?
14	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes. Thank you. We saw at
15	least a couple presentations over the last couple of days
16	that did split the Antelope Valley with Palmdale being
17	drawn in with Victor Valley communities, particularly as
18	it relates to the black voting age population.
19	I'd be curious I'm curious to know, like what
20	those plans sort of envisioned for the surrounding sort
21	of communities around there, particularly like where does
22	Lancaster go and why, like if they have a justification,
23	because there is a significant population of black
24	residents in Lancaster as well.

25

So I'm curious to see particularly what happens to

- 1 Lancaster when those are split up. Yeah. So thank you.
- 2 | I would love some more analysis on that.
- 3 CHAIR SADHWANI: Jaime, did you want to jump in and
- 4 respond?
- 5 MS. CLARK: (Indiscernible).
- 6 CHAIR SADHWANI: I can't hear you.
- 7 MS. CLARK: I don't know why that happens sometimes
- 8 | with my computer. I hope you can hear me now.
- 9 CHAIR SADHWANI: Yes.
- 10 MS. CLARK: And just to discuss Antelope Valley,
- 11 | Victor Valley area, really quickly, for -- we are right
- 12 | now looking to have those areas together for Senate and
- 13 | Congress. There's not a way to do that in assembly
- 14 | without splitting either or both valleys. And so at this
- 15 time, not really -- yeah, we're definitely looking at
- 16 that closely for a Senate in Congress.
- 17 | MS. VAZQUEZ: Got it. Okay. I think I'd be curious
- 18 | then for a really thorough analysis of the assembly
- 19 districts where you can't -- where you are not able to
- 20 keep those communities together. Is that what I heard?
- 21 | That for assembly districts, those portions of those
- 22 | communities may be split up is what I heard.
- MS. CLARK: To make an assembly district that joins
- 24 | Antelope Valley and Victor Valley than either or both of
- 25 | those areas would have to be split.



1 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Got it. Okay. 2 MS. CALRK: Just for population purposes. COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Got it. Okay. Thank you. 3 4 That's helpful. 5 CHAIR SADHWANI: Great. Thank you. Commissioner Sinay, were you -- no. 6 7 Commissioner Turner, did you have another comment? COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. And more so than 9 the line drawers for -- is it just would love to call on 10 the memory of the commissioners in your notes. And so 11 number one, I -- there was something that we kept hearing 12 about -- as it relates to the black communities being 13 kept together around Riverside, Perris, Moreno Valley, do 14 we have visualizations that either support that already, 15 those that know that area, or is that -- was that a new 16 kind of rendition? 17 MS. CLARK: I --18 COMMISSIOENR TURNER: I don't know if the 19 Commissioners remember. 20 MS. CALRK: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, just discussion. 22 ahead. 23 MS. CALRK: I was just going to say that John is going on right now and we can definitely communicate that 24

25

to him.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And then the other one and again, just maybe even commissioners will know. I recall and I was still taking notes. And when we're looking at potential either CVAP areas or just trying to keep some of the areas together, there was this North-South skinny kind of visualizations that was being presented for us in Los Angeles again, there was the one.

And thank you, Commissioner Sinay. I got your maps everywhere that I'm looking at now. Just been helpful. But there was the one that spoke about like a Wilmington, Carson, Compton, Upton, I think, as far as Huntington. But my question is right next to it, we've talked a lot about Long Beach.

And there was testimony that I cannot make sense of my writing that spoke about Long Beach going north into Signal Hill and up that direction to be able to capture I don't know if it was AAPI kind of communities of interest or black or whatever, that's where it gets kind of vague and hazy. Do you all remember that? You do,

Commissioner Sinay?

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Almost all our requests have been to go north, which always feels like west, but north from Long Beach and north from San Pedro. But what was interesting today was that they were -- a lot of the testimony was about cutting parts -- even though they

said San Pedro, they weren't looking at all of San Pedro
and they weren't looking at all of Long Beach.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: There is a strong -- I don't
know in numbers, but in history a black community in Long

Beach. And so I think that came -- that was part of it.

7 And to answer your other question --

COMMISISONER TURNER: Um-hum.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

where the black communities were in San Bernardino. But what I thought was unique today was that they had a name for that area, the Ebony Triangle, or the Ebony -- and so I kind of wrote that down just to see more if that is a cultural -- that is a community -- what there is around that community as a community of interest, because I found that helpful because we had been getting different input from different parts. But this time it had kind of a more cohesive name and -- oh, I just --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- as long as I'm on the mic really quick. I just wanted to say thank you to all the groups who presented because it was really helpful to hear the language that they use and how they think about a lot of this.

The whole idea of this is based on was -- I mean, I



was like, well, yeah. And I think sometimes we're hesitant to say, okay, we're basing this one on this one region and expanding from there because we don't want others to feel like left out or whatever, because you're prioritizing.

2.3

But a lot of the language that was used, I wrote down notes just because it helped me think through as we're doing these maps of really anchoring was another one because sometimes I feel like I was just taking a lot of COIs that were coming in and trying to put them together without having a base or an anchor or something, but just trying to figure out all the Lego pieces.

And now I'm like, okay, let's -- we can, just for my mind, it was really helpful. So thank you to the groups out there, and I'm sure the line doors were very happy to hear that. We're learning as you're going along. So thanks.

CHAIR SADHWANI: Any other commissioners that have feedback or specific direction to the line drawers?

All right. Seeing none, we are going to pause here for the rest of the day. Again, we will take public comment through our phone system tomorrow at the at the close of our meeting.

Tomorrow, we will be back at 9:30 a.m. on Saturday,
October 23rd, to continue receiving public map inputs

1	throughout the day. We will, again, tomorrow afternoon
2	have a have an opportunity to discuss and provide any
3	direction to the line drawing team in the afternoon,
4	followed by public comment.
5	So we invite you all to join us again tomorrow. It
6	will also be my last meeting tomorrow serving as your
7	chair before I turn it over to the wonderful Antonio Le
8	Mons to take over as chair next week. So looking forward
9	to that. Thank you all. We will stand in recess till
10	tomorrow at 9:30 a.m.
11	(Whereupon, the Public Input Map Session
12	adjourned at 4:30 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, of the videoconference recording of the proceedings provided by the California Citizens Redistricting Commission.

Well Barton

May 10, 2023

JENNIFER BARTON